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Mars Global Reference Atmospheric Model (Mars-GRAM)

- Engineering-level atmospheric model widely used for diverse mission applications.
- Mars-GRAM’s perturbation modeling capability is commonly used, in a Monte-Carlo mode, to perform high fidelity engineering end-to-end simulations for entry, descent, and landing (EDL).
- Traditional Mars-GRAM options for representing the mean atmosphere along entry corridors include:
  - TES Mapping Years 1 and 2, with Mars-GRAM data coming from MGCM model results driven by observed TES dust optical depth.
  - TES Mapping Year 0, with user-controlled dust optical depth and Mars-GRAM data interpolated from MGCM model results driven by selected values of globally-uniform dust optical depth.
- From the surface to 80 km altitude, Mars-GRAM is based on NASA Ames Mars General Circulation Model (MGCM). Mars-GRAM and MGCM use surface topography from Mars Global Surveyor Mars Orbiter Laser Altimeter (MOLA), with altitudes referenced to the MOLA areoid, or constant potential surface.
- Mars-GRAM 2005 has been validated against Radio Science data, and both nadir and limb data from the Thermal Emission Spectrometer (TES).
New Features of Mars-GRAM 2005

- Option to use input data sets from MGCM model runs that were designed to closely simulate conditions observed during the first two years of TES observations at Mars
  - TES Year 1 = April 1999 through January 2001
  - TES Year 2 = February 2001 through December 2002
- Option to read and use any auxiliary profile of temperature and density versus altitude. In exercising the auxiliary profile Mars-GRAM option, the values from the auxiliary profile replace data from the original MGCM databases
  - Examples of auxiliary profiles:
    - Data from TES (nadir or limb) observations
    - Mars mesoscale model output at a particular location and time
- Two Mars-GRAM parameters allow standard deviations of Mars-GRAM perturbations to be adjusted
  - rpscale can be used to scale density perturbations up or down
  - rwscale can be used to scale wind perturbations
Entry Probe Mission Site Selection

- Mars-GRAM could be a valuable tool for planning of future Mars entry probe missions
- Mars-GRAM can provide data on density, temperature, pressure, winds, and selected atmospheric constituents for mission sites on Mars
- Currently, Mars-GRAM is being used in the Mars Science Laboratory landing site selection process
Comparison with MER EDL models

- Paul Withers at Boston University compared the MER EDL data with various models including Mars-GRAM
- Mars-GRAM averages within 5% of the MER values
- For surface-pressure corrected results, Mars-GRAM is one of two models that averages a ratio of 1.0 to the MER data, the other is MGCM (TES dust)
Applications for Mars Science Laboratory Mission Site Selection:

• In order to assess Mars Science Laboratory (MSL) landing capabilities, the following candidate sites have been studied as part of our work as a member of the MSL Council of Atmospheres:
  
  Terby Crater  Holden Crater  Nili  
  Melas Chasma  Mawrth  E. Meridiani  
  Gale Crater

• Two mesoscale models were run for the expected MSL landing season and time of day.
  
  – Mars Regional Atmospheric Modeling System (MRAMS) of Southwest Research Institute\(^4\)
  
  – Mars Mesoscale Model number 5 (MMM5) of Oregon State University\(^5\).
Other Sources of Mars Atmospheric Data

• To assess likely uncertainty in atmospheric representation at these candidate sites, two other sources of atmospheric data were also analyzed:
  – A global Thermal Emission Spectrometer (TES) database containing averages and standard deviations of temperature, density, and thermal wind components, averaged over 5-by-5 degree latitude-longitude bins and 15 degree Ls bins, for each of three Mars years of TES nadir data
  – A global set of TES limb sounding data, which can be queried over any desired range of latitude-longitude and Ls, to estimate averages and standard deviations of temperature and density
Characteristics of TES Nadir Database

• Three TES Mapping Years
  – Yr 1 = 4/99 – 2/01
  – Yr 2 = 2/01 – 1/03
  – Yr 3 = 1/03 – 11/04

• Global TES Nadir Data Set - Means and Standard Deviations for temperature, density, and thermal wind components:
  – 5-by-5 degree Lat-Lon bins
  – 15 degree Ls bins
  – Local Solar Time = 2 or 14 hours
  – Up to 21 Pressure Levels, automatically converted to Geometric Height by Database Query Program
  – Query program gives output at TES pressure levels or interpolated to 1-km altitude intervals
  – Output automatically formatted for Mars-GRAM input as Auxiliary Profile
Characteristics of TES Limb Database

• Data for TES Mapping Years 1 and 2 and ~1/2 of TES Mapping Year 3

• Query Program Allows User to Select Lat-Lon, and Ls Bins and Local True Solar Time
  – Input desired Lat-Lon and select Lat-Lon Bin widths
  – Input desired Ls and select Ls Bin width
  – Choose LTST = 2 or 14 hours (or both)

• Query Program outputs all individual profiles that match criteria, plus average and standard deviation of temperature and density of all output profiles
  – Up to 38 Pressure levels, automatically converted to geometric altitude
  – Output at pressure levels, or interpolated to 1-km altitude intervals
  – Output automatically formatted for Mars-GRAM input as Auxiliary Profile
Density Comparison

- Comparison of vertical profiles of density ratio from TES nadir data, MRAMS, MMM5, and Mars-GRAM model output for the Mawrth MSL landing site.
- Density values are represented as a ratio relative to TES Limb data.
- TES Nadir and Limb data are for Map Year 1. TES Limb data is for Ls=130 +/- 15. TES nadir values from Ls=120 and Ls-135.
- Mars-GRAM results are Map Year 0 with dust visible optical depth tau = 0.1, LTST = 1500.
- TES nadir and TES limb data differ significantly - all of the models tend to agree with the limb data more than the nadir results at the MSL candidate sites.
- Above ~ 20 km, differences increase between MRAMS and MMM5 results.
Zonal Wind Comparison

- Comparison of vertical profiles of mean zonal (eastward) wind from MRAMS, MMM5, and Mars-GRAM for the Mawrth MSL landing site
- Wind results from MRAMS and MMM5 are more consistent than the density results between these two models
Density Standard Deviation Comparison

- Comparison of vertical profiles of density standard deviation from TES nadir data, TES limb data, and MRAMS, MMM5, and Mars-GRAM model output for the Mawrth MSL landing site.
- Observed and mesoscale-modeled density standard deviations are generally less than Mars-GRAM density standard deviations, an exception being TES nadir year 2 values below ~ 5 km altitude and TES limb data above ~ 36 km.
- With nominal value rpscale=1, Mars-GRAM perturbations would be conservative.
- To better represent TES and mesoscale model density perturbations, rpscale values as low as ~ 0.4 could be used.
Wind Perturbation Comparisons

- Mars-GRAM Wind Perturbation Ratio (rwscale) vs Height for MRAMS, MMM5, and nominal Mars-GRAM perturbation model values at the Gale, Melas, Terby MSL sites
- Mesoscale-modeled wind standard deviations are slightly larger (by about a factor of 1.1 to 1.2) than Mars-GRAM wind standard deviations.
- An rwscale value of about 1.2 would better replicate wind standard deviations from MRAMS or MMM5 simulations at the Gale, Terby, or Melas sites.
Conclusions

- The new Mars-GRAM auxiliary profile capability, using data from TES observations, mesoscale model output, or other sources, allows a potentially higher fidelity representation of the atmosphere, and a more accurate way of estimating inherent uncertainty in atmospheric density and winds.
- When comparing the MER EDL data with Mars-GRAM results, Mars-GRAM does well and averages a ratio of 1.0 to the MER data.
- By adjusting the rpscale and rwscale values in Mars-GRAM based on figures such as Figure 3 and 4, we can provide more accurate end-to-end simulations for EDL at the candidate MSL landing sites.
- Mars-GRAM would be an valuable tool to use as part of the search for potential landing sites for future Mars entry probe missions.
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