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Introduction

• Discussion focusing on what can we do with current equipment
• If we can enhance the equipment, how can we do better?
What is RTCA SC-214 doing?

• In plain terms:
  – Update of CPDLC (and ADS-C) specifications with new assumptions
    • Interoperability of ATN, FANS, and ACARS
    • Primary means of communication – voice supplemental
    • Goal of worldwide applicability
    • Consider requirements of NexGen, SESAR, etc.
  – These changes in scope ripple through the definitions of services and data links.
  – Backwards compatibility is expected for portions of CPDLC that have been implemented.

• These standards will drive the next generation of airborne capability.
What SC-214 is NOT

- ADS-B covered by SC-186/WG-51
- AIS/MET services covered by SC-206/WG-76
- Data Link Security covered by SC-216/WG-72
Motivation

• Why can’t CDAs be used in high traffic density?
  – Lack of predictability in aircraft compression
  – Lack of predictability in terminal area
  – Communication / coordination between airspace

• NextGen concepts use transmission of complex trajectory clearances, weather information and air traffic advisories.

• Data communications will:
  – Provide for a more efficient air/ground (A/G) information exchange mechanism
  – Provide an additional means of communication between pilots and controllers
  – Reduce congestion on the voice channels
  – Reduce operational errors and pilot deviations resulting from misunderstood instructions and read back errors
  – Enable trajectory based operations
  – Reduce controller and flight crew workload.
Example from Tailored Arrivals results

Enroute Descent Advisor – Along Track Prediction Accuracy - 23 min time horizon
Acknowledgement: from Rich Coppenbarger, NASA Ames Research Center

Along-Track Error (nmi)

Mean = -1.3 nmi
Max = 2.3 nmi
Min = -4.8 nmi
Std Dev = 1.5 nmi

In terms of time:
Mean = 3 sec late
Max Early = 34 sec
Max Late = 38 sec
Std Dev (σ) = 22 sec
Delegate tracking of 4D trajectory to aircraft

Key features include:

1. Trajectory Clearance Uplink
   - 2D lateral route with altitude and time/speed constraints

2. Clearance Request Downlink
   - Flight crew proposed modified route

3. Trajectory Monitoring
   - Trajectory downlink, e.g. ADS-C EPP
   - Proposed downlink of earliest/latest ETAs at specified waypoints
Linear Analysis Results


- RTA Reduces Trajectory Error
  - Nominal FMS trajectory sensitivity shown with a given set of disturbances
    - Very similar results to Tailored Arrivals
    - My error sources appear to be about 50% worse (2.6 mile vs. 1.5 mile St Dev)
  - RTA control reduces trajectory sensitivity most dramatically at end, but also through whole trajectory.
Time-based vs. Relative Spacing

• Complementary tools
  – Not an “either / or”

• Time-based for long term strategy
  – Setting up the flow
  – Usable in low density

• Relative spacing handles problems as density gets higher
  – Corrects for
SC-214 / WG-78 TORs

- Standards for Air Traffic Data Communication Services
  - Produce data link standards for NextGen and SESAR
  - DO-264/ED-78A Process
  - Backwards compatibility
    A. FANS 1/A – ATN INTEROP Standard (DO-305/ED-154)
    B. ATN B1 INTEROP Standard (DO-280B/ED-110B)
    D. FANS 1/A INTEROP Standard (DO-258A/ED-100A)
  - Coordinate
    - FAA, ICAO, EUROCONTROL,ATMAC R & P, PARC CWG, AECC
SC-214/WG-78 Work Organisation
## SC-214/WG78 Work Plan

### P5
- **22sep08**
- **Washington**
- **WP1+ 4DTRAD OSD Draft**

### P6
- **8dec08**
- **Toulouse**
- **WP1 Draft 4DTRAD OSD comments rev.**

### P7
- **9mar09**
- **Seattle?**
- **WP1 Draft**

### P8
- **21sep09**
- **Toulouse?**
- **WP1 +WP2 Draft**

### P9
- **7dec09**
- **Washington**
- **WP1 +WP2 comments rev.**

### P10
- **Sep10**
- **Brussels**
- **MET Interop**

### P11
- **May11**
- **Changes Consolidation**

### P12
- **Sep11**
- **Standards For FRAC**

### P13
- **Dec11**
- **Standards Ready**

### P14
4D Trajectory Datalink – “4DTRAD”

- **4DTRAD** defines the following services
  - Ground uplink of 4D trajectory clearance
    - Uplink can include 0, 1, or multiple RTA constraints
  - Aircraft downlink of 4D trajectory request
    - User-preferred trajectory
  - Coordination required between multiple ATC units.
  - Trajectory Conformance Monitoring (ADS-C)

- Service description acknowledges importance of similar wind/temperature models between air and ground trajectory prediction systems, but definition of wind/temperature datalink messages currently beyond scope of SC214.

  **2007:** “It looks like the service to get a wind/temperature uplink specific to the flight plan route is falling through the cracks.”

  **2008 update:** it’s getting discussed, but only as a long term capability. The SC214 group still isn’t taking ownership of it.
For More Information

http://www.faa.gov/
search for “sc-214”
http://www.faa.gov/about/office_org/headquarters_offices/ato/service_units/techops/atc_comms_services/sc214/
PARC TBO Action Team

• **Statement of Objective**
There are many groups which are using the NextGen and OEP terms “Trajectory” (4D, 3D & 2D) and “Trajectory Based Operations” (TBO) in various aspects of their plans and work. The groups are each looking at these related concepts from a particular viewpoint (air / ground data transfer, dependent surveillance, aircraft control, etc.) leading to different understandings and definitions. The objective for this action team is to assess the current state of industry / government activity relative to these concepts, providing an analysis of gaps & overlaps in definitions and other information in currently on-going work, and to recommend ways to resolve identified gaps and overlaps.

• **Statement of scope of task/activity:**
The scope of activity will be limited to the following four goals:
- Assessing the current (multi-group) concepts & approaches to TBO
- Performing a comparative analysis of TBO concept & approaches
- Performing a gap analysis of the concepts vs current capabilities
- Assessing impact of gaps or overlaps on needs to enable TBO

• **What is the expected deliverable/product:**
The following deliverables will align with the particular scope items above:
1. A list of TBO characteristics / definitions to be assessed across the groups involved in any aspect
2. A list of the relevant working groups and a technical contact within each who can work with this action team to communicate that group’s concepts
3. Comparative analysis of key concepts, definitions and applications of TBO
4. Recommendations for closing gaps and/or reducing overlaps in the surveyed activities

• **Mike Cramer, MITRE, is Action Team Leader**
## List of groups under survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SC-186</td>
<td>ADS-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC-159</td>
<td>ECEF approach coordinate under LAAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC-214</td>
<td>Datalink, the group has a subcommittee developing 4D trajectory model for use in operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC-189 &amp; PARC CWG</td>
<td>Communications performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEEC FMS Committee</td>
<td>FMS Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPDO ACWG</td>
<td>Aircraft equipage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPDO AESC</td>
<td>Air navigation services based on TBO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPDO ANSWG</td>
<td>Effect of TBO on environmental concerns; emissions, noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPDO ENV WG, Ops SC</td>
<td>Trajectory definition, future flight plan definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATMAC R&amp;P</td>
<td>Historical and new 4D work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPDO IWP Owners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REDAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPDO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SESAR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAO SASP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAO ATM RPP FFPL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAA / EURO AP 4</td>
<td>&quot;action plan&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAA / EURO AP 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAA / EURO AP 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Backup Slides
Standards Development Waterfall

Environment Review & Revision

Operational Service Definition

Operational Safety Analysis

Operational Performance Analysis

Interoperability Requirement Definition
- ATN
- ARINC 623
- FANS Accommodation
- Internet Protocol

Technology Independent Enterprise Information Service Definition

Operational Services and Environment Document (OSED)

Subsequent Activities

Avionics Implementations
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Multiple RTA Effect on Uncertainty

This is notional based on previous results

Along track error

No RTA control

1 RTA
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4D contract

This is notional based on previous results
Multiple Waypoint RTA Example
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