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Oligo(ethylene glycol) groups have been used as substituents in poly(p-phenyleneethynylene)s (PPEs) to provide solubility, and to boost quantum yield. Properties such as water-solubility and increased quantum yield in aqueous solution make these conjugated systems promising for biosensory applications.

In this thesis, a PPE containing a branched ethylene glycol side chain is synthesized as part of a polymer array for glycan biosensing. I also report that the same side chain can be put to use in a red-emissive polymer to lend water solubility. Another monomeric unit, containing ethylene glycol chains, is incorporated into a PPE to create an amphiphilic polymer. The versatility of these polymers allows them to be used for a variety of purposes, some of which will be described herein.
CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Conjugated Polymers as Biosensing Platforms

Conjugated polymers (CPs) have long been known as electronic materials;\textsuperscript{1} those that are fluorescent are also of interest as sensory materials.\textsuperscript{2} These fluorescent polymers have sparked interest in the field of chemical sensing due to their ability to produce a measurable signal response upon interaction with a variety of analytes. The response of CPs being more sensitive than that of traditional fluorescent dyes has led to them being referred to as amplifying fluorescent polymers.\textsuperscript{3} Amplification of the fluorescent signal of a CP in response to an analyte is due to the polymer acting as a “molecular wire.”\textsuperscript{4} As opposed to a small molecule, the fluorescence of which is quenched by an analyte within a certain radius, the fluorescence of a conjugated polymer can be quenched at any point along the polymer backbone due to exciton migration.\textsuperscript{3, 5} Typical fluorescent lifetimes are long enough that the exciton is able to travel up to 100 repeat units before fluorescing, interacting with any quenching species anywhere along that chain. These fluorescent compounds have been used in a myriad of sensing schemes, from detection of explosives\textsuperscript{6} and volatile organic compounds,\textsuperscript{7} to metal sensing.\textsuperscript{8} These sensory techniques can be extended to the field of biosensing by synthesizing CPs that are soluble in water.\textsuperscript{9} Often these hydrophilic polymers are realized by using pendant ionic substituents.\textsuperscript{4} Sulfonate, phosphonate, and carboxylate side chains provide negatively charged water-soluble polymers. Side chains with quaternary amine groups provide cationic polymers. A wide variety of sensing schemes, relying on the fluorescent response of water-soluble CPs, have enabled the detection of biological species such as DNA,\textsuperscript{10} proteins,\textsuperscript{11} bacteria,\textsuperscript{12} and glucose.\textsuperscript{13}
One simple detection method is to fluorescently label the target analyte. This can be done by designing a polymer with side chains that have an affinity for that certain analyte. Disney, *et al.*, used a polymer with mannose side chains to stain bacteria with mannose receptors on the cell surface. A more common strategy is to measure the fluorescent response of the polymer. This response can be a hypsochromic or bathochromic shift in the absorption or emission, or a change in the fluorescent intensity (quenching), or both. Gaylord and coworkers developed a novel sensor able to detect single-stranded DNA (ssDNA). The sensor (Figure 1.1) utilizes the electrostatic interaction between a cationic fluorene-based copolymer and negatively charged DNA, and the fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) between the polymer and a chromophore (fluorescein). The fluorescein is functionalized to a peptide nucleic acid (PNA) strand, and when mixed with the polymer, no FRET occurs. Only in the presence of a complementary strand of ssDNA is the fluorescein (F) then brought close enough to the polymer for FRET to occur, at which point the fluorescein will dominate the emission. The wavelength shift of the emission maximum due to FRET reveals the presence of a specific sequence of ssDNA.

Sensors can also be based on changes in fluorescent intensity. Generally, this involves some sort of quenching mechanism. Zhao and coworkers used a carboxylate-functionalized CP to detect pyrophosphate. The polymer is first electrostatically bound to copper cations, which serve to quench the fluorescence of the system. In the presence of pyrophosphate, the copper binds preferentially to pyrophosphate, and the fluorescence of the system is restored. This is an example of a “turn-on” sensing scheme, as opposed to a “turn-off” system, where the analyte acts as a fluorescence quencher. Fan, *et al.*, reported on the quenching of an anionic poly(*p*-phenylenevinylene) (PPV) by the protein cytochrome *c* via an electron transfer mechanism. Other “turn-off” sensing schemes employ the self-quenching of the polymer. Liu, *et al.*, examined quenching of their anionic poly(*p*-phenylene) derivative by positively-charged proteins and dendrimers. The
quenching was found to be based on conformational changes of the polymer as opposed to an energy or electron transfer mechanism.\textsuperscript{16}

\textbf{Figure 1.1} Representation of Bazan’s DNA sensor\textsuperscript{10a}

Another powerful sensing technique involves using polymer arrays. By combining different polymers with selectivity for a variety of analytes, a polymer sensor array is created. The components of the array are selective rather than specific, but when used in combination, an analyte-specific pattern can be generated.\textsuperscript{17} Statistical software can be used to analyze the data, recognizing distinct individual patterns in response to an analyte. This array-based approach is analogous to olfactory systems,\textsuperscript{18} hence the expression “chemical nose.” Previous work in the Bunz group has explored the potential for sensing with polymer arrays, as well as implementing detection methods similar to the ones already discussed. In these experiments, the focus in the Bunz group has been on poly(\textit{p}-phenylenethynylene)s (PPEs), which will be discussed in more detail.
1.2 Poly(para-phenyleneethynylene)s as Biosensors

PPEs are one class of fluorescent conjugated polymers, consisting of alternating phenylene and ethynylene groups. They have become popular for chemical sensing purposes due to their advantageous properties, including facile synthesis and versatile functionality, good quantum yield and photostability, and the dependence of their photophysical properties on their surrounding environment. One of the attractive qualities offered by PPEs is their versatility. The fluorescent backbone of a PPE can be functionalized with a multitude of different side chains, introducing selectivity for a variety of analytes, or providing solubility in different solvents, even altering the photophysical properties. The extreme sensitivity offered by PPEs is another benefit. The quantum yield can be thought of as the efficiency of the polymer, or the percent of absorbed photons that are emitted as light. Certain dialkoxy-PPEs have shown quantum yields close to unity in dilute solutions.

Experiments in the Bunz group have demonstrated the versatility of PPEs, from sensing of toxic, environmentally hazardous metal ions such as mercury and lead, to applications in biosensing. These sensing experiments have employed the same types of schemes already discussed. A folic acid functionalized PPE was used to stain and visualize cancer cells.

![Figure 1.2](image_url)  
**Figure 1.2** Fluorescence microscopy images of cancer cells after staining with PPE; Transmittance images (left) and fluorescence images (right).
A “turn-off” sensor was developed to detect the lectin concanavalin A (Con A), by determining the quenching of the fluorescence of a mannose-substituted PPE upon binding (Figure 1.3). \(^{19a}\)

**Figure 1.3** Quenching of a sugar-substituted PPE by concanavalin A \(^{19a}\)

Figure 1.4 shows an example of a “turn-on” sensor for bacteria, involving PPEs that are electrostatically bound to gold nanoparticles (NPs). The fluorescence of the polymer is quenched by the NP in this system. Upon exposure to bacteria, the NPs preferentially bind to the cell surface, releasing the polymer, and restoring fluorescence. This system utilizes an array of different NPs to accurately discriminate between twelve strains of bacteria, including three different strains of *E. coli*. \(^{25}\)

**Figure 1.4** Depiction of PPE/NP construct as a turn-on sensor for bacteria \(^{25}\)
The Bunz group has also utilized polymer arrays for biosensory applications. An array of six different PPEs has proven useful for differentiation of seventeen biological proteins. Due to the unique interactions of each protein with each of the polymers, and the different effect seen on the fluorescence of each polymer, unique responses can be measured for the different proteins.

![Plot for the first three factors of simplified fluorescence response patterns obtained with PPE polymer array against 17 protein analytes](image)

**Figure 1.5** Plot for the first three factors of simplified fluorescence response patterns obtained with PPE polymer array against 17 protein analytes

All of these biosensing experiments must be performed in an aqueous environment. For this to be possible, the sensing element must be soluble in water. Use of ionic side chains is a common route to solubilizing PPEs. Ampiphilic oligo(ethylene glycol) moieties also provide solubility in a number of solvents, including water. One drawback is that the polymer species, while being soluble in water, tends to aggregate, thereby inducing self-quenching. Use of a branched oligo(ethylene glycol) side chain not only lends solubility, but has been shown to reduce aggregation, resulting in higher quantum yields, which translates into higher sensitivity for biosensory applications. These types of functional groups continue to prove useful – in chemical sensing systems,
and in providing water-solubility to other organic systems. In this thesis, the following chapters detail the occurrence and use of ethylene glycol chains in conjugated polymers. Chapter 2 covers the use of a branched oligo(ethylene glycol), or “swallowtail” side chain in two different conjugated polymers. Chapter 3 examines the role of a triethylene glycol side chain as part of a conjugated polymer. In summary, the role that ethylene glycol chains play in these conjugated systems and the benefits of their use will be discussed.
CHAPTER 2
SWALLOWTAIL POLYMERS FOR BIOSENSING

2.1 Introduction

Building poly(p-phenyleneethynylene)s (PPEs) with ionic side chains is a common route for bringing them into aqueous environments. Quantum yields for ionic PPEs in water are generally low ($\Phi_f = 0.03-0.10$).\textsuperscript{5b, 20, 28} Hyperbranched conjugated polymers\textsuperscript{29} and dendrimer-like side chains have recently been used to amplify quantum yields in aqueous solution.\textsuperscript{30} Khan, et al., reported on the synthesis of a PPE bearing branched oligo(ethylene glycol), or “swallowtail” side chains.\textsuperscript{20} Introduction of the swallowtail functionality furnished a water-soluble, chemically inert PPE with a high fluorescence quantum yield in water ($\Phi_f = 0.43$). Addition of metal ions and variations in pH did not induce noticeable changes in the photophysical properties of the PPE.\textsuperscript{20} Because of its high quantum yield and solubilizing properties, the swallowtail moiety has found use in the Bunz group as a substituent in certain copolymers.\textsuperscript{21b, 25} This chapter highlights two such instances of the swallowtail and its use in PPEs.

2.2 Constructing a Polymer Array for Glycan Biosensing

2.2.1 Background

Glycans are oligo- or polysaccharides, and can be found either in free form, or bound to proteins or lipids (glycoconjugates).\textsuperscript{31} Glycans can be found on the surface of cells, and it is well known that changes in glycosylation pattern are a trademark of cancer cells.\textsuperscript{31-32} A wide range of cancers overexpress glycans, either on the cell surface, or by shedding them into the bloodstream. Though this phenomenon is found in all malignant tumors, different types will express their own specific glycosylation pattern.\textsuperscript{33} Successful
identification of glycans, particularly the pattern on cell surfaces, could be instrumental in assigning the tumorigenic or non-tumorigenic nature of cells.\textsuperscript{34}

Previous work by the Rotello group, in collaboration with the Bunz group, exploits an array based sensing approach for differentiation of normal, cancerous, and metastatic human breast cells.\textsuperscript{35} The sensor array works based upon the physicochemical differences between cell surfaces. Following up this work, the Rotello group has recently developed a novel “chemical nose” sensor for glycans. An array of four different cationic poly(\textit{p}-phenyleneethynylene)s (PPEs), each electrostatically bound to green fluorescent protein (GFP), are used as the sensory element. The fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) between the two fluorescent species varies in response to different glycans. Using the array of polymer/GFP constructs, analyte-specific responses in the FRET can be measured. Experiments performed by Bajaj and coworkers show the efficacy of this system for detecting free glycans in solution, and differentiating between two different mammalian cell types (wild and mutant) based upon glycans present on the cell surface. The polymers comprising the array are a product of the Bunz lab; one in particular will be discussed further.

2.2.2 Discussion

The sensor array used in this experiment is composed of four polymers, shown in Figure 2.1, each electrostatically bound to GFP. Each of these distinct polymers must be (1) soluble in water, (2) positively charged, and (3) display FRET when coupled to GFP. The first two requirements can be met using positively charged amino groups, which are present in every polymer. The polymers display similar spectral properties; all of them exhibit FRET when coupled with GFP. Of the four polymers, \textit{2d} is the least complex, while meeting all the requirements; the others are variations of this polymer. \textit{2c} differs by varying the charge density. The copolymers \textit{2a} and \textit{2b} contain ethylene glycol side chains. The synthetic route to \textit{2b} is shown in Figure 2.2. PPE \textit{2.7} was prepared via
Sonogashira coupling of monomers 2.1 and 2.6. Polymer 2.7 was then post-functionalized with \(N,N\)-dimethylethlenediamine to yield 2.7. The quaternary amine was created with iodomethane to give 2b.

**Figure 2.1** Polymers used in biosensing array

**Figure 2.2** Synthesis of PPE 2b
Incorporation of the swallowtail side chain into polymer 2b serves to create a polymer that will interact with glycans differently than the others in the array. The combination of different responses from the four polymers enables the array to produce an overall response that is analyte-specific. Polymer 2b also maintains the required solubility in water, and the photophysical properties are such that 2b will exhibit FRET when coupled with GFP. Absorption and emission profiles of PPEs 2.7, 2.8, and 2b are shown in Figure 2.2. The data for 2.7 and 2.8 were collected in CHCl₃; and for 2b in H₂O.

![Absorption and Emission Spectra](image)

**Figure 2.3** Normalized absorption (red, blue, and green) and emission (orange, yellow, and purple) spectra of PPEs 2.7, 2.8, and 2b

Monomer 2.1 was synthesized by alkylation of 2,5-diiododihydroquinone with bromoethyl acetate. Monomer 2.6 was synthesized as shown in Figure 2.4. The swallowtail side chain was made by addition of tri(ethylene glycol) monomethyl ether to epichlorohydrin, yielding the alcohol 2.2. Following tosylation, the side chain was added to the phenyl ring by alkylation of 2,5-diiododihydroquinone, producing the A₂ monomer 2.4. The alkyne functionality was then introduced by Sonogashira coupling of
trimethylsilyl acetylene. Subsequent deprotection with potassium fluoride hydrate gave the B2 monomer 2.6.

The purpose of the swallowtail monomer in this instance is to provide a fourth polymer for the array. The swallowtail side chain helps to provide a polymer which interacts with analytes differently than the others in the array. The ease of swallowtail synthesis and its varied use in the Bunz group make it an obvious choice. Similar spectroscopic properties of polymer 2.7, and sufficient solubility make it a fitting one.

**Figure 2.4** Synthesis of monomer 2.6

The purpose of the swallowtail monomer in this instance is to provide a fourth polymer for the array. The swallowtail side chain helps to provide a polymer which interacts with analytes differently than the others in the array. The ease of swallowtail synthesis and its varied use in the Bunz group make it an obvious choice. Similar spectroscopic properties of polymer 2.7, and sufficient solubility make it a fitting one.
The swallowtail side chain has found its way into other polymerization schemes as well. One example of the A₂ monomer 2.4 appearing elsewhere is the topic of the next section.

### 2.3 A Red-Emissive Water-Soluble PPE

#### 2.3.1 Background

The vivid blue or green emission of many PPEs can be a problem for sensory applications in biological systems, which contain many fluorescent species that emit in the same region. For biosensing, PPEs which emit at longer wavelengths would be advantageous, increasing the signal to noise ratio. Previous work in the Bunz group has demonstrated the tunability of PPEs when using conjugated side-chains. This is accomplished by using unsaturated substituents inspired by poly(p-phenylenevinylene)s (PPVs). This concept can be extended to the arena of biosensing by creating water-soluble polymers with the same type of unsaturated substituents. Copolymerization with the swallowtail monomer should help bring these materials into the aqueous phase. As part of the ongoing effort to tune the photophysical properties of water-soluble polymers, the cross-conjugated PPE shown in Figure 2.5 has been synthesized.

![Figure 2.5 Water-soluble PPE-PPV hybrid](image)

Figure 2.5 Water-soluble PPE-PPV hybrid
2.3.2 Discussion

Previous work in the Bunz group demonstrates the tunability of cruciforms\textsuperscript{37} and PPEs\textsuperscript{21a} containing conjugated side chains. Electron-donating substituents on the styryl axis were found to induce a bathochromic shift in the emission. The dimethylaminobenzene substituent used in this synthesis was chosen due to the effect it has shown on the emission of PPEs in these prior experiments. This amino functionality elicits a more bathochromic shift than either methyl benzoate or 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene.\textsuperscript{21a} These types of polymers also display some solvatochromicity, with lower energy emission maxima in more polar solvents.

Because of the expected solubility issues of a homopolymer containing this styryl side chain, a copolymer was made, using a monomer with swallowtail side chains. Incorporation of this monomer furnished a copolymer which exhibits solubility in a variety of organic solvents, as well as water.

2.4 Conclusions

A cationic copolymer containing swallowtail side chains was synthesized as part of a polymer array for glycan biosensing. The solubilizing properties of the swallowtail also serve to create a water-soluble, red-emissive PPE. This substituent will continue to find use in such systems due to its physical properties, and its ease of use.

2.5 Experimental

2.5.1 Synthesis of diethyl 2,2′-(1,4-phenylenebis(oxy))diacetate (2.1)

K$_2$CO$_3$ (33 g, 0.24 mol) and tetra-butylammonium bromide (4 g, 0.01 mol) were stirred in hot THF (150 mL) for 15 minutes. 2,5-Diododihydroquinone (17.6 g, 0.0486 mol) was added to the mixture, followed by bromoethyl acetate (13.5 mL, 0.122 mol). After the reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 2 d, an aqueous solution of NaSO$_3$ (100 mL) was used to quench the reaction. After extraction 3 times with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (50
mL), the solvent was evaporated, and the product was recrystallized from EtOAc to yield a colorless solid (21.8 g, 0.0409 mol, 83%, m.p. 121-124°C). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.14 (s, 2H), 4.60 (s, 4H), 4.25 (q, 4H, $J$ = 7 Hz), 1.29 (t, 6H, $J$ = 7 Hz). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 167.93, 152.63, 123.51, 86.14, 67.21, 61.46, 14.10.

2.5.2 Synthesis of 2,5,8,11,15,18,21,24-octaoxapentacosan-13-ol (2.2)

Sodium metal (10.0 g, 0.43 mol) was stirred in tri(ethylene glycol) monomethyl ether (190 mL) in a dry flask at 100 °C for 2 h, until completely dissolved. The temperature was then reduced to 65 °C, and epichlorohydrin (32 mL, 0.41 mol) was added dropwise. The reaction was reheated to 100 °C and then allowed to stir for 24 h, after which time, NH$_4$Cl (22 g, 0.41 mol) was stirred in. The reaction mixture was then filtered through celite with CHCl$_3$, the solvent was evaporated, and the product was purified by vacuum distillation, yielding a colorless oil (79 g, 0.20 mol, 49%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 3.76 (p, 1H, $J$ = 5 Hz), 3.47 (m, 20H), 3.36 (m, 9H), 3.19 (s, 6H). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 72.66, 71.96, 70.78, 70.62, 70.59, 70.54, 70.53, 69.38, 59.02.

2.5.3 Synthesis of 2,5,8,11,15,18,21,24-octaoxapentacosan-13-yl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (2.3)

NaH (1.4 g, 0.056 mol) was slowly added to a dry flask containing compound 2.2 (18.0 g, 0.0468 mol) and dry THF (50 mL). \textit{para}-Toluenesulfonyl chloride (17.8 g, 0.0936 mol) was added and the reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight. Excess NaH was quenched by slowly adding H$_2$O (50 mL). The product was then extracted 3 times with CHCl$_3$ (50 mL), and the solvent was evaporated. After purification by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc), the product was recovered as a colorless oil (19.5 g, 0.036 mol, 77%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.70 (d, 2H, $J$ = 8 Hz), 7.22 (d, 2H, $J$ = 8 Hz), 4.56 (p, 1H, $J$ = 5 Hz), 3.51 (m, 16H), 3.42 (m, 12H), 3.26 (s,
6H), 2.33 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 144.15, 133.61, 129.24, 127.60, 79.28, 71.51, 70.48, 70.18, 70.17, 70.10, 69.95, 69.24, 58.60, 21.25.

2.5.4 Synthesis of 13,13’-(2,5-diiodo-1,4-phenylene)bis(oxy)

bis(2',5',8',11',15',18',21',24'-octaoxapentacosane) (2.4)

$\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$ (15 g, 0.11 mol) and KI (0.4 g, 0.002 mol) were stirred into butanone (150 mL). 2,5-Diiododihydroquinone (7.84 g, 0.0217 mol) was then added, followed by compound 2.3 (24.52 g, 0.04552 mol). The reaction was stirred at reflux for 3 d, after which time the salts were filtered through celite with CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The solvent was evaporated, and silica gel chromatography (19:1 EtOAc:MeOH) afforded a pale yellow oil (13.4 g, 0.0122 mol, 56%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.34 (s, 2H), 4.28 (p, 2H, $J$ = 5 Hz), 3.62 (m, 8H), 3.55 (m, 40H), 3.44 (m, 8H), 3.26 (s, 12H). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 152.92, 125.59, 87.56, 80.44, 71.52, 70.79, 70.43, 70.26, 70.24, 70.19, 70.11, 58.65.

2.5.5 Synthesis of (2,5-bis(2,5,8,11,15,18,21,24-octaoxapentacosan-13-yloxy)-1,4-

phenylene)bis(ethyne-2,1-diyl)bis(trimethylsilane) (2.5)

Compound 2.4 (4.6 g, 4.2 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (5 mL). Piperidine (5 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred under N$_2$(g) for 10 min. $\text{Pd(PPh}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ (29 mg, 0.042 mmol) and CuI (8 mg, 0.04 mmol) were subsequently added under N$_2$(g). The reaction mixture was sealed, and trimethylsilyl acetylene (2.42 mL, 16.8 mmol) was added via syringe. After stirring at room temperature for 2 d, the solids were filtered, solvent was evaporated, and the product was purified by silica gel chromatography (19:1 EtOAc:MeOH), yielding a light yellow oil (3.57 g, 3.44 mmol, 82%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 6.98 (s, 2H), 4.33 (p, 2H, $J$ = 5 Hz), 3.64 (m, 8H), 3.55 (m, 40H), 3.46 (m, 8H), 3.27 (s, 12H), 0.14 (s, 18H). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 153.62, 121.03,
Compound 2.5 (2.96 g, 2.85 mmol) and KF·H₂O (0.87 g, 11 mmol) were stirred in MeOH (25 mL) overnight. H₂O was added, and the solution was then extracted 3 times with CHCl₃ (25 mL). Solvent was evaporated, and the product was purified by silica gel chromatography (19:1 EtOAc:MeOH). A light yellow oil was recovered (1.82 g, 2.04 mmol, 71%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.06 (s, 2H), 4.33 (p, 2H, I = 5 Hz), 3.63 (m, 8H), 3.55 (m, 40H), 3.45 (m, 8H), 3.26 (s, 12H), 3.25 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 154.30, 121.66, 115.18, 82.97, 80.17, 79.86, 72.05, 71.30, 70.77, 70.76, 70.75, 70.70, 70.63, 59.14.

### 2.5.7 Synthesis of PPE 2.7

Monomer 2.6 (0.300 g, 0.336 mmol), monomer 2.1 (0.180 g, 0.336 mmol), and triethylamine (1 mL) were stirred into THF (1 mL) and toluene (1 mL). After degassing, Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (2.4 mg, 0.0034 mmol) and CuI (1.3 mg, 0.0067 mmol) were added under N₂(g). After stirring at 45 °C for 2 d, H₂O was added, and the solution was extracted 3 times with CHCl₃ (25 mL). After precipitation into hexane, the product was filtered and recovered as a yellow solid (247 mg, 0.211 mmol, 63%). GPC (THF, polystyrene standard) Mₘ 13041; Mₙ 10340. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.22 (s, 2H), 7.03 (s, 2H), 4.77 (m, 4H), 4.61 (m, 2H), 4.28 (m, 4H), 3.79 (m, 8H), 3.67 (m, 8H), 3.62 (m, 32H), 3.51 (m, 8H), 3.35 (s, 12H), 1.31 (t, 6H, J = 7 Hz). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 168.68, 153.43, 122.17, 120.65, 118.86, 115.77, 114.89, 92.44, 90.63, 80.75, 71.84, 71.14, 71.05, 70.52, 70.51, 70.47, 70.41, 67.11, 61.23, 58.98, 14.21.
Polymer 2.7 (247 mg) and N,N-dimethylethylenediamine (0.1 mL) were stirred in MeOH (50 mL) at 50 °C for 24 h. After removal of the solvent, the residue was washed thoroughly with hexane. After being dried under vacuum, polymer 2.8 was obtained as a yellow powder (256 mg, 0.204 mmol, 97%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.24 (s, 2H), 6.94 (s, 2H), 4.52 (s, 4H), 4.39 (m, 2H), 3.74 (m, 56H), 3.31 (m, 4H), 3.26 (s, 12H), 2.42 (m, 4H), 2.17 (s, 12H).

2.5.9 Synthesis of PPE 2b

2.8 (256 mg) was dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (50 mL) and iodomethane (0.5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Water was then added, and the solution was washed 3 times with hexane (25 mL). After dialysis for 3 d, the polymer solution was lyophilized to yield a yellow solid (246 mg, 0.191 mmol, 94%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, D$_2$O): $\delta$ 7.24 (s, 2H), 6.94 (s, 2H), 4.60 (s, 4H), 4.39 (m, 2H), 3.76 (m, 56H), 3.36 (m, 4H), 3.17 (s, 12H), 2.51 (m, 4H), 2.26 (s, 18H).
CHAPTER 3

PLASMONIC FIELD ENHANCEMENT OF AN AMPHIPHILIC FLUORESCENT POLYMER

3.1 Introduction

One distinct characteristic of metallic nanoparticles is their surface plasmon resonance (SPR). This phenomenon has found widespread application in biosensing, as well as surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy. When irradiated with light of the proper wavelength, the surface plasmon resonance induces strong electromagnetic fields in the vicinity of the nanoparticle. This leads to an enhancement of the electronic properties of nearby systems, such as conjugated polymers. Previous work by Mahmoud, et al. explores the effect of metallic nanoparticles on a poly(phenylethynylene) (PPE). Specifically, PPE 3 (provided by the Bunz lab) was deposited as a monolayer on silver nanocubes.

Figure 3.1  PPE 3
This polymer was deposited on the nanocubes as a monolayer using the Langmuir-Blodgett technique with water as a base. This involves depositing the polymer as a solution in chloroform, and allowing the solvent to evaporate. A polymer that exhibits solubility in both chloroform and water is needed, and the amphiphilic PPE 3 works quite well.

Upon irradiation, the SPR generated by the nanoparticles amplifies the electronic properties of the polymer. The rate of absorption was enhanced, leading to increased fluorescent intensity. This amplified fluorescence is seen to increase as a function of nanoparticle surface density, up to a certain point, where the fluorescent intensity is seen to decrease.

![Fluorescence spectra of PPE monolayer deposited on silver nanocubes as a function of nanocube surface density.](image)

**Figure 3.2** (A) Fluorescence spectra of PPE monolayer deposited on silver nanocubes as a function of nanocube surface density. (B) Integrated fluorescent intensity as a function of nanocube surface density. (Courtesy of the El-Sayed group)

Mahmoud, *et al.*, postulate that at this threshold surface density (around 11.2%), there are so many absorbed photons that exciton-exciton annihilation becomes a faster process than fluorescence. In order to investigate the effect of conjugation length on these competing processes, we set out to synthesize polymer 3 with different molecular weights.
3.2 Discussion

In order to deposit PPE 3 as a Langmuir-Blodgett film onto water, it must display some solubility in water, as well as organic solvents. The experiments also require that the polymer possess some spectral overlap with the silver nanocubes. Tri(ethylene glycol) side chains are used in this polymer to help provide an amphiphilic nature. The spectroscopic requirements are also fulfilled, with an absorption maximum very near the nanocube absorption maximum. Polymer 3 was synthesized in three separate batches; the same procedure was used for each polymerization (Figure 3.3). Polymerization of monomers 3.1 and 3.5 gave polymer 3.6. Post-functionalization of PPE 3.6 with \( N,N \)-dimethylethlenediamine yielded PPE 3.7, and methylation of 3.7 led to PPE 3.

![Synthetic scheme for PPE 3](image)

**Figure 3.3** Synthetic scheme for PPE 3
Photophysical properties of polymers 3.6 and 3.7 were very similar for each separate polymer. Absorption and emission spectra are shown in Figure 3.5. Three samples of 3 were synthesized, and gel permeation chromatography was used to determine number average molecular weights of 15350, 18058, and 26412. Absorption and emission spectra of PPEs 3 are shown in Figure 3.6.

**Figure 3.4** Normalized absorption (red and blue) and emission (green and yellow) spectra of PPEs 3.6 and 3.7 in CHCl₃

**Figure 3.5** Normalized absorption (red, blue, and green) and emission (orange, yellow, and purple) spectra of PPEs 3 in H₂O
Monomer 3.1 was synthesized through alkylation of 2,5-diiododihydroquinone with bromoethylacetate. Monomer 3.5 was reached as shown in Figure 3.4. Tri(ethylene glycol) monomethyl ether was tosylated, and then added to 2,5-diiododihydroquinone to give the A₂ monomer 3.3. Trimethylsilyl acetylene was then added via Sonogashira coupling, and subsequent deprotection with potassium fluoride hydrate yielded the B₂ monomer 3.5.

![Synthetic scheme for monomer 3.5](image_url)

**Figure 3.6** Synthetic scheme for monomer 3.5

### 3.3 Conclusions

An amphiphilic ethylene-glycol containing polymer has been synthesized in different molecular weights. After deposition onto silver nanocubes, these polymers will be used for an exciton-exciton annihilation study. We expect to find that increasing conjugation length will increase the rate of annihilation, due to an increase in intrachain
exciton collisions. The results will further understanding of the role that surface plasmon resonance plays in these competing one- and two-photon processes, as well as its effect on nearby conjugated polymers.

3.4 Experimental

3.4.1 Synthesis of diethyl 2,2’-(1,4-phenylenebis(oxy))diacetate (3.1)

K$_2$CO$_3$ (33 g, 0.24 mol) and tetra-butylammonium bromide (4 g, 0.01 mol) were stirred in hot THF (150 mL) for 15 minutes. 2,5-Diododihydroquinone (17.6 g, 0.0486 mol) was added to the mixture, followed by bromoethyl acetate (13.5 mL, 0.122 mol). After the reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 2 d, an aqueous solution of NaSO$_3$ (100 mL) was used to quench the reaction. After extraction 3 times with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (100 mL), the solvent was evaporated, and the product was recrystallized from EtOAc to yield a colorless solid (21.8 g, 0.0409 mol, 83%, m.p. 121-124 °C). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.14 (s, 2H), 4.60 (s, 4H), 4.25 (q, 4H, $J = 7$ Hz), 1.29 (t, 6H, $J = 7$ Hz). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 167.93, 152.63, 123.51, 86.14, 67.21, 61.46, 14.10.

3.4.2 Synthesis of 2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (3.2)

Tri(ethylene glycol) monomethyl ether (50.0 g, 0.304 mol) and para-toluenesulfonyl chloride (116 g, 0.609 mol) were dissolved in THF (200 mL). The reaction mixture was then cooled to 0 °C, and NaOH (36.5 g, 0.913 mol) was added dropwise as an aqueous solution. After stirring overnight, the solution was extracted 3 times with CHCl$_3$ (100 mL). The solvent was evaporated, and purification by silica gel chromatography (9:1 hexane:EtOAc for excess TsCl, followed by 1:1 hexane:EtOAc), yielded the product as a colorless oil (71.3 g, 0.224 mol, 74%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.66 (d, 2H, $J = 8$ Hz), 7.23 (d, 2H, $J = 8$ Hz), 4.03 (t, 2H, $J = 5$ Hz), 3.55 (t, 2H, $J = 5$ Hz), 3.46 (m, 6H), 3.39 (m, 2H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 166.95, 152.63, 123.51, 86.14, 67.21, 61.46, 14.10.
MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): $\delta$ 144.42, 132.52, 129.44, 127.49, 71.43, 70.22, 70.06, 70.05, 68.94, 68.17, 58.51, 21.17.

### 3.4.3 Synthesis of 1,4-diiodo-2,5-bis(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)benzene (3.3)

K\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} (29.6g, 0.214 mol) and tetra-butylammonium bromide (3 g, 0.01 mol) were stirred into acetone (150 mL) for ten minutes. 2,5-Diiododihydroquinone (12.9 g, 0.0356 mol) and KI (0.6 g, 0.004 mol) were then added to the mixture, followed by compound 3.2 (25.0 g, 0.0785 mol). The reaction was stirred at reflux for 3 d. The solids were then filtered through celite with CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}, the solvent was evaporated, and the product was purified by silica gel chromatography (3:1 hexane:EtOAc for starting material, EtOAc for product) to give a pale yellow oil (18.4 g, 0.0282 mol, 79%). \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): $\delta$ 7.18 (s, 2H), 4.05 (t, 4H, $J$=5 Hz), 3.82 (t, 4H, $J$ = 5 Hz), 3.74 (m, 4H), 3.62 (m, 8H), 3.50 (m, 4H), 3.32 (s, 6H).

### 3.4.4 Synthesis of (2,5-bis(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-1,4-phenylene)bis(ethyne-2,1-diyl)bis(trimethylsilane) (3.4)

Compound 3.3 (11.3 g, 17.3 mmol) and triethylamine (1 mL) were stirred in THF (20 mL) under N\textsubscript{2}(g) for 15 min. Pd(PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (0.12 g, 0.17 mmol) and CuI (0.065 g, 0.34 mmol) were added under N\textsubscript{2}(g). The reaction was sealed, and trimethylsilyl acetylene (12.3 mL, 86.4 mmol) was added via syringe. The reaction was stirred for 2 d, and then extracted 3 times with H\textsubscript{2}O and CHCl\textsubscript{3} (50 mL). The product was purified by silica gel chromatography (5:1 hexane:EtOAc), yielding a light tan powder (7.41 g, 12.4 mmol, 72%). \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): $\delta$ 6.90 (s, 2H), 4.11 (t, 4H, $J$ = 5 Hz), 3.86 (t, 4H, $J = 5$ Hz), 3.76 (m, 4H), 3.65 (m, 8H), 3.55 (m, 4H), 3.36 (s, 6H), 0.23 (s, 18H). \textsuperscript{13}C
NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 153.81, 117.67, 114.09, 100.78, 100.32, 71.88, 71.11, 70.74, 70.50, 69.63, 69.46, 58.99, -0.09.

3.4.5 Synthesis of 1,4-bis(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-2,5-di(prop-1-ynyl)benzene (3.5)

Compound 3.4 (2.6 g, 4.4 mmol) and potassium fluoride hydrate (1.7 g, 22 mmol) were dissolved in MeOH (25 mL) and stirred overnight. The reaction was then extracted 3 times with H$_2$O and CHCl$_3$ (50 mL), the solvent was evaporated, and the product was purified with a silica gel plug (hexane for TMS, CH$_2$Cl$_2$ for the product). A light tan solid was recovered (1.5 g, 3.3 mmol, 76%, m.p. 50-51 °C). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 6.99 (s, 2H), 4.14 (t, 4H, $J = 5$ Hz), 3.86 (t, 4H, $J = 5$ Hz), 3.77 (m, 4H), 3.66 (m, 8H), 3.54 (m, 4H), 3.37 (s, 6H), 3.32 (s, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 154.01, 118.22, 113.51, 82.79, 79.53, 71.92, 71.03, 70.68, 70.54, 69.56, 69.45, 59.02.

3.4.6 Synthesis of PPE 3.6

Monomers 3.1 (564 mg, 1.04 mmol) and 3.5 (500. mg, 1.11 mmol) were added to a solution of toluene (5 mL), THF (1 mL), and triethylamine (1 mL). After degassing via the freeze/pump/thaw method, Pd(PPh$_3$)$_2$Cl$_2$ (74 mg, 0.010 mmol) and CuI (40. mg, 0.021 mmol) were added under N$_2$(g). After stirring at room temperature for 3 d, the reaction mixture was then precipitated into hexane, and the polymer was filtered, dried under vacuum, and recovered as an orange powder (660. mg, 0.895 mmol, 91%). GPC (THF, polystyrene standard) Polymer 1: $M_w$ 37786; $M_n$ 15350. Polymer 2: $M_w$ 21484; $M_n$ 18058. Polymer 3: $M_w$ 43728; $M_n$ 26412. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.10 (s, 2H), 7.02 (s, 2H), 4.75 (s, 4H), 4.24 (m, 8H), 3.91 (m, 4H), 3.76 (m, 4H), 3.61 (m, 8H), 3.50 (m, 4H), 3.33 (s, 6H), 1.29 (t, 6H, $J = 7$ Hz). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 168.64, 153.53, 126.15, 118.90, 117.76, 114.95, 114.35, 92.56, 90.80, 71.86, 70.94, 70.66, 70.48, 69.60, 69.40, 67.23, 61.30, 58.97, 14.21.
3.4.7 Synthesis of PPE 3.7

Polymer 3.6 (660 mg) and \(N,N\)-dimethylethylenediamine (1 mL) were stirred in THF (10 mL) at 50 °C overnight. The reaction mixture was then extracted 3 times with H\(_2\)O and CHCl\(_3\) (25 mL), and the solvent was evaporated to give the product as an orange powder (460 mg, 0.57 mmol, 63%). \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 7.12 (s, 2H), 7.07(s, 2H), 4.63 (s, 4H), 4.24 (m, 4H), 3.88 (m, 4H), 3.72 (m, 4H), 3.61 (m, 8H), 3.48 (m, 8H), 3.34 (s, 6H), 2.17 (s, 12H).

3.4.8 Synthesis of PPE 3

Polymer 3.7 (460 mg, 0.57 mmol) and iodomethane (0.5 mL, 8 mmol) were stirred in CH\(_3\)CN overnight. H\(_2\)O was added to the solution, and it was then washed 3 times with hexane (25 mL). After dialysis of the water-soluble polymer for 5 d, the polymer solution was lyophilized to give a yellow solid (450 mg, 0.54 mmol, 95%). \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, D\(_2\)O): \(\delta\) 7.18 (s, 2H), 7.07 (s, 2H), 4.38 (m, 4H), 4.01 (m, 4H), 3.84 (m, 4H), 3.66 (m, 8H), 3.55 (m, 16H), 3.32 (s, 6H), 3.20 (s, 18H).
APPENDIX A

SYNTHESIS OF 2,5-DIIODODIHYDROQUINONE

2,5-diiodo(p-dimethoxybenzene)

Iodine (69 g, 0.27 mol), p-dimethoxybenzene (50 g, 0.36 mol), KIO₄ (83 g, 0.36 mol), and sulfuric acid (5 mL) were dissolved in acetic acid (750 mL). The reaction was stirred overnight under a condenser at 90 °C. The reaction was then quenched with an aqueous solution of NaSO₃ (500 mL) until the purple color subsided and the solution turned a yellow color. The solid product was then filtered off, washed with H₂O, and dried, yielding a light beige powder (106 g, 0.273 mol, 76%, m.p. 170-173 °C). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.18 (s, 2H), 3.82 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 153.19, 121.47, 85.39, 57.11.

2,5-diiododihydroquinone

2,5-Diiodo(p-dimethoxybenzene) (20.0 g, 0.0513 mol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (500 mL). The solution was cooled to 0°C, and BBr₃ (9.7 mL, 0.205 mol) was added under N₂(g). After the reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight, it was slowly quenched with H₂O. The solid product crashed out, and was filtered and dried, yielding a fluffy off-white powder (16.2 g, 0.0447 mol, 87%, m.p. 198-201 °C). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.80 (s, 2H), 7.12 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO): δ 150.36, 123.54, 84.31.
APPENDIX B

NMR SPECTRA

Figure B.1  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 2.1 and 3.1 in CDCl$_3$

Figure B.2  $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 2.1 and 3.1 in CDCl$_3$
Figure B.3  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 2.2 in CDCl$_3$

Figure B.4  $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 2.2 in CDCl$_3$
Figure B.5  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 2.3 in CDCl$_3$

Figure B.6  $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 2.3 in CDCl$_3$
Figure B.7  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 2.4 in CDCl$_3$

Figure B.8  $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 2.4 in CDCl$_3$
Figure B.9  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 2.5 in CDCl$_3$

Figure B.10  $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 2.5 in CDCl$_3$
Figure B.11  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 2.6 in CDCl$_3$

Figure B.12  $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 2.6 in CDCl$_3$
Figure B.13  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 2.7 in CDCl$_3$

Figure B.14  $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 2.7 in CDCl$_3$
Figure B.15  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 3.2 in CDCl$_3$

Figure B.16  $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 3.2 in CDCl$_3$
Figure B.17  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 3.3 in CDCl$_3$

Figure B.18  $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 3.3 in CDCl$_3$
Figure B.19  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 3.4 in CDCl$_3$

Figure B.20  $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 3.4 in CDCl$_3$
Figure B.21  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 3.5 in CDCl$_3$

Figure B.22  $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 3.5 in CDCl$_3$
Figure B.23  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 3.6 in CDCl$_3$

Figure B.24  $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 3.6 in CDCl$_3$
Figure B.25  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 3.7 in CDCl$_3$

Figure B.26  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 3 in D$_2$O
Figure B.27  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 3 in DMSO

Figure B.28  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 2,5-diiodo($p$-dimethoxybenzene) in CHCl$_3$
Figure B.29 $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR spectrum of 2,5-diiodo($p$-dimethoxybenzene) in CHCl$_3$

Figure B.30 $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectrum of 2,5-diiododihydroquinone in CHCl$_3$
Figure B.31  $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 2,5-diiodohydroquinone in DMSO
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