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Why a Separate Safety Program?

Some what pessimistically, James Reason and others have discussed the inherent trade-off of ‘safety versus production’
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Either way, the role of a safety program is not just to address safety, but to provide the knowledge to effectively manage this trade-space.
Without Knowledge of This Trade-space…

… somebody will need to make a decision whether to implement a new function or capability. (In aviation this is ‘certification risk’)

Safety

If they err conservatively, uncertainty about safety will stifle innovation!

Production

Either error reflects the need for fundamental knowledge!

If they err optimistically, we may have safety too low!
A Simple View… Single-Point Failures

• The simplest viewpoint considers accidents the response to single, identifiable faults and failures

• A good starting point is to eliminate the potential for single-point failures, or simple error chains…

But this alone won’t get us the safety levels we need!

\[ p_{\text{accident}} = p_{\text{failure1}} + p_{\text{failure2}} \]
Organizational and technical factors create holes in safety nets

\[ P_{\text{accident}} = P_{\text{failure}_1} \times P_{\text{failure}_2} \times P_{\text{latent}_1} \times P_{\text{latent}_2} \]
Building Further – Strong Coupling

• What if one weakness aggravates the potential for another?

\[ p_{\text{accident}} = p_{\text{failure}_1} \cdot p_{\text{failure}_2\{\text{failure}_1\}} \cdot p_{\text{failure}_3\{\text{failure}_1 \& \text{failure}_2\}} \cdot \ldots \]

• Mechanisms then exist for cascading and compounding failures developing non-linearly into accidents
  – These behaviors can’t be captured with fault trees!
Which Does Classic ‘Risk’ Modeling Lead?

Normal = 100% Safe with No Degradations?

Risks Degrade Safety

Corollary: Eliminate Risks, Disturbances & Degradations – Stick to Your Normative State – and Safety is Ensured

Risk Models Can Only Model the Negative – They Can’t Model What Restores Us to Safe Operations
Modeling Resilience

Risks Degrade Safety

Normal = 100% Safe with No Degradations?
Modeling Resilience

Normal = Constant Variation

Other Processes Restore Safety!

Risks Degrade Safety

Success or Failure?

Which Aspects of this Accident Should We Focus On?
Major function of program office is to frequently review existing and proposed research for:

- Consistency with and support of clear national need
  - Current safety technical and operational problems
  - Potential future safety technical and operational problems
  - Safety constraining innovation
- Need for long-term fundamental science and engineering research
- Alignment with unique NASA charter
- Other selection considerations
  - Appropriate resources, workforce and facilities
  - Sustaining commitments

**Must ensure flexibility to consider new research areas and urgent problems**
Interacting With our Community
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What research is vital to civil aeronautics?

And what should the government do?

What “infrastructure” should be maintained as a national resource?

And how is it costed...
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Fatalities by CAST/ICAO Common Taxonomy Team (CICTT) Aviation Occurrence Categories

Note: This chart only applies to commercial aircraft weighing more than 60,000 lbs.
From Isolated Sensors -> Systems Reasoning

It’s not about the sensors alone –
It’s about making sense of them!
Monitoring and Prediction of Safety Issues from Operational Data

**PROBLEM STATEMENT**
• Develop data mining tools to uncover potential safety issues from massive data sources containing discrete, continuous, and textual information.
• Tools must scale to massive data sources and provide automated detection, diagnosis, and prognosis capabilities at the fleet-level.

**RESEARCH APPROACH**
• Anomaly detection method that has the ability to detect at least three anomalies in fleet-wide heterogeneous data sources.
• Forecasting technology that has the ability to predict at least 3 known anomalies in real or emulated data of large, fleet-wide heterogeneous data sources.
• Develop techniques to classify text reports into anomaly categories.

**KEY MILESTONES**
• **3.3.4 (FY12Q4)**: Forecasting fleet-level anomalies from massive data sources.
• **1.3.1.3 (FY10Q4)**: Anomaly detection in distributed and centralized data systems and deploy algorithms.
• **1.3.3.4 (FY011Q4)**: Develop methods to predict anomalies in combined continuous and discrete sources.
• **1.3.5.1 (FY11Q4)**: Implement two prototype tools to evaluate airspace system health.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad Q = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j (\beta K_d (x_i, x_j) + (1 - \beta) K_c (x_i, x_j)) \\
\text{subject to} & \quad 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq \frac{1}{\nu}, \quad \nu \in [0, 1], \quad \sum_i \alpha_i = 1
\end{align*}
\]
Key Policy Questions (Without Technical Insight)

+ Who ‘owns’ the data?
+ May the government possess it?
  - NASA (Research)
  - FAA (Regulatory)
+ If the government possesses it, can they protect it?
  - Can it fit under the ‘proprietary’ clause of FOIA?
+ If the government might release it, should the owner of the data release it?
National and International Reputation

ASRS Recognized Model for Proactive Contribution to Safety & Risk Management Process

Int’l Confidential Aviation Safety Systems (ICASS)
  • Includes 12 countries modeled after ASRS

Firefighters Near Miss Reporting System
  • Launched August, 2005 was modeled after ASRS
  • Development Task Force includes FAA and NASA ASRS

Confidential Close Call Reporting System (C3RS)
  • Railroad Safety Reporting System was modeled after ASRS
  • Under development through collaboration with Federal Rail Administration, Volpe National Transportation System Center, and Railroad Industry

Patient Safety Reporting System (PSRS)
  • Collaboration between NASA ASRS and Dept of VA, National Center for Patient Safety
Key Policy Questions (With Technical Insight)

+ Are there intermediate levels of analysis
  - ‘In-house’ methods on observable data
  - ‘Out-of-house’ methods for national assessment

+ Can government agencies provide standard data mining tools and protocols to data-owners?
  - Data stays ‘in-house’ with owners
  - Results of data-mining
What To Do With the Insights Gained?

+ Role of Government Research -> Industry
+ Role of the Regulator:
  - Is it possible for a government agency to maintain sufficient oversight to achieve desired safety levels?
  - Or, do we involve multiple stakeholders in private-public partnerships that collectively achieve safety?!
    - Regulator (FAA)
    - Air Traffic Operator (FAA)
    - Aircraft Operators / Air Carriers
    - Airports
    - Labor
    - Airframers & Avionics Manufacturers
    - Technical Advisor (NASA)
    - International Partners (ICAO, other CAA)
CAST brings key stakeholders to cooperatively develop & implement a prioritized safety agenda
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2020 CAST SAFETY PLAN – WORKING SEs
(Total Plan – 65 SE; 42 Complete; 23 Underway)

ON TRACK     IN QUESTION     LATE

JIMDAT Score/2020 implementation level
CAST: 2008 Collier Trophy Award
In Summary

+ **Aviation** safety is the leader in safety in many domains!

+ Technology is only part of the solution – and only if carefully coordinated with policy:
  - Ability to assess safety of – and certify – new developments
  - Data protections <-> Information sharing
  - Shared construct of implementation