SUSTAINABLE NUCLEAR POWER

Weston M. Stacey
Callaway Regents’ Prof. of Nuclear Engineering
Georgia Institute of Technology

at
Nuclear & Radiological Engineering Seminar
Georgia Tech, Atlanta, GA
March 15, 2012
SUSTAINABLE EXPANSION OF NUCLEAR POWER REQUIRES

1. In the near-term, dealing responsibly with the accumulating inventory of spent nuclear fuel.
2. In the intermediate term, utilization of a much greater fraction of the potential energy content of uranium (and thorium).
3. In the longer term, the production of power from nuclear fusion.
THE ACCUMULATING SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL INVENTORY IS THE MAJOR IMMEDIATE IMPEDIMENT TO THE SIGNIFICANT EXPANSION OF NUCLEAR POWER

• e.g. The present USA SNF inventory would almost fill the Yucca Mountain high-level waste repository (HLWR) (and there are no official plans for Yucca Mountain.) The present USA production of spent fuel would require a new Yucca Mountain HLWR about every 30 years. Similar requirements exist in Europe, Japan, Russia and elsewhere.

• The long-lived transuranics in spent nuclear fuel (Pu,Np,Am,Cm,Cf) could be separated and fissioned in fast “burner” reactors, thereby reducing the HLWR requirements by a factor of 10-50.

• The IFR metal-fueled, pool-type sodium-cooled fast reactor technology for pyro-processing and re-fabricating spent fuel on-site and burning transuranics in inherently safe reactors has been demonstrated, but not yet implemented. The plutonium and higher actinides are recycled together, further reducing any proliferation risk.

• Sub-critical operation of these fast “burner” reactors, with a large external neutron source, may be necessary in order to achieve a factor of 10 reduction in required HLWRs and to reduce the number of burner reactors and separations facilities needed. The feasible neutron sources are D-T fusion and accelerator-spallation.
INADEQUATE FUEL RESOURCES MAY BE THE MAJOR IMPEDIMENT TO THE SUSTAINABLE EXPANSION OF NUCLEAR POWER AFTER MID-CENTURY

• The “Once-Thru” nuclear fuel cycle in the USA and elsewhere only utilizes < 1% of the potential energy content of uranium. This OTC (even augmented by Pu recycle) will not sustain a significant expansion of nuclear power beyond mid-century.

• Fast “breeder” reactors can transmute U-238 into Pu-239 and Th-232 into U-233, both of which are fissionable in LWRs. Such fast “breeder” reactors will be needed in the second half of the century to sustain a significant expansion of nuclear power.

• Sub-critical operation with an external neutron source also may be advantageous for fast “breeder” reactors.
WORLD ELECTRICITY DEMAND & PROVEN WORLD FUEL RESOURCES

- The world’s electricity demand in 2050 will require an annual fuel consumption of $0.42 \times 10^{21}$ Joules/yr.
- The world’s proven energy resources are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Coal</th>
<th>Oil</th>
<th>Nat Gas</th>
<th>Uran OTC 1%</th>
<th>Uran 90%</th>
<th>Thor 90%</th>
<th>Lith D-T fus</th>
<th>HDO D-D fus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proven Reserve ($10^{21}$ J)</td>
<td>$28.8^b$</td>
<td>$6.0^b$</td>
<td>$5.5^b$</td>
<td>$1.8^b$</td>
<td>$162^b$</td>
<td>$180^c$</td>
<td>$2650^c$</td>
<td>unlimit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“2050” years</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>6310</td>
<td>forever</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# NUCLEAR POWER REACTORS TODAY

(Source Nuclear News, March, 2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th># operating</th>
<th># forthcoming</th>
<th>MWe operating</th>
<th>MWe forthcoming</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developed world (USa, W. Eur, Japan)</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>287,704</td>
<td>16,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia &amp; East Eur.</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>47,430</td>
<td>18,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing world (Asia, Mideast, SA)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>43,179</td>
<td>74,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>444</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>378,313</td>
<td>108,867</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) US--20% electricity, 104 reactors operating, 9 reactors under construction, 20 new application NRC
FUTURE POWER SOURCES SHOULD NOT FURTHER DAMAGE THE ENVIRONMENT?
NUCLEAR POWER IS THE MOST REALISTIC OPTION FOR ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY, CARBON-FREE ELECTRICITY ON THE SCALE NEEDED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>25% OF THE WORLD’S ELECTRICITY IN 2050</th>
<th>3325 GWe (1 GWe=10⁹ W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Could be provided by</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of 1 GWe⁰ nuclear reactors</td>
<td>3,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or # of 3 MWe⁰ nuclear reactors</td>
<td>1,110,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or # of km² of solar panels⁰</td>
<td>166,250²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) GWe=10⁹ We, MWe=10⁶ Watt electrical  
b) 24 hr av solar intensity 200W/m², conversion efficiency 10%.  
c) land area of Georgia 153,910 km²
ASSURANCE OF PUBLIC SAFETY IS A PREREQUISITE FOR SUSTAINABLE EXPANSION OF NUCLEAR POWER, AND SUCH ASSURANCE PROBABLY REQUIRES THE ELIMINATION OF THE POSSIBILITY OF HYDROGEN EXPLOSIONS.

• Hydrogen explosions following “beyond design basis” events led to the dispersal of radioactive material in the major nuclear accidents at Chernobyl and Fukushima Daiichi, and were a major threat at Three-Mile Island.

• Replacement of water coolant would seem to be the only way to eliminate the possibility of a hydrogen explosion caused by “non-design basis” events.
FAST REACTORS

• The neutron cross sections for fissioning the transuranic isotopes in spent nuclear fuel and for producing fissionable material from U238 (>99% natural U) are much more favorable in a fast neutron spectrum than in a thermal spectrum.

• Fast reactors can close the nuclear fuel cycle by i) using the transuranics in spent nuclear fuel as fuel and ii) producing their own fuel by transmuting U238 into Pu239 and higher transuranics.

• Subcritical operation may be optimal for fast reactors intended primarily for burning the transuranics in spent LWR fuel.
# MAINLINE FAST REACTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTRY</th>
<th>REACTOR</th>
<th>MWth</th>
<th>OPERATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>EBR-I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1951-63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EBR-II</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1964-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FERMI-I</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1965-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FFTF</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1980-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUSSIA</td>
<td>BR-5/10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1958-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BOR-60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1969-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BN-350</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1973-99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BN-600</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>1980-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRANCE</td>
<td>RAPSODIE</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1967-83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PHENIX</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>1974-99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SUPER PHENIX</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1985-97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAPAN</td>
<td>JOYO</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1978-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MONJU</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>1994-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>DER</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1963-77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTEGRAL FAST REACTOR\textsuperscript{a}

- Metal-fueled, Na-cooled, pool-type fast reactor based on the EBR-I and EBR-II operating experience and extensive subsequent development.
- On-site pyro-processing of spent fuel separates fission products, which go to HLWR, from a metal fuel mixture of all transuranics (Pu is never separated) which can be relatively simply re-fabricated into fuel rods.
- Negative reactivity feedback under all conditions insure inherent safety. Self-shutdown of EBR-II demonstrated in response to loss-of-coolant and loss-of-heat-sink conditions.
- Prototype IFR system could be online in 20-25 years.

\textsuperscript{a} C. E. Till and Y. I. Chang, "PLENTIFUL ENERGY The Story of the Integral Fast Reactor" (2012).
POWER FROM FUSION

• MAJOR ADVANCES HAVE BEEN MADE IN FUSION PHYSICS AND SUPPORTING TECHNOLOGY, BOTH FOR MAGNETIC (TOKAMAK) AND INERTIAL (LASER) FUSION.

• AN EXPERIMENTAL FUSION POWER REACTOR (ITER-TOKAMAK) IS UNDER CONSTRUCTION TO OPERATE INTERNATIONALLY 2021-40 (IN FRANCE).

• FURTHER ADVANCES IN FUSION PHYSICS AND SUPPORTING TECHNOLOGY, DEVELOPMENT OF A FUSION NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGY AND DEVELOPMENT OF A RADIATION-RESISTANT STRUCTURAL MATERIAL ARE NEEDED FOR AN ECONOMICALLY COMPETITIVE FUSION POWER REACTOR.
DIII-D TOKAMAK FUSION FACILITY
PROGRESS IN FUSION ENERGY PRODUCTION
An Unofficial Fusion Development Schedule

Canonical

ITER 2019-40 → DEMO 2040-60 → POWER REACTOR 2060-00

More Likely?

ITER 2019-40 → DEMO 2040-60 → PROTO 2060-80 → POWER REACTOR 2080-20
PROPOSAL--A SUBCRITICAL IFR FAST BURNER REACTOR WITH A FUSION NEUTRON SOURCE BASED ON ITER PHYSICS & TECHNOLOGY

• Prototype IFR “burner” reactor and separations/re-fabrication facilities could be online in 20-25 years. Subcritical reactor operation with a neutron source is advantageous (maybe necessary) for fast “burner reactors.

• The physics and technology performance parameters upon which ITER is designed are adequate for a neutron source for a fast “burner” reactor, so to a large extent ITER operation (2021-40) will serve as a prototype for the neutron source for a fast “burner” reactor.

• The SABR design concept\(^a\) for a fast “burner” reactor based on an IFR metal fuel, Na-cooled reactor combined with a neutron source based on the ITER design concept (scaled down to half volume and adapted for sodium coolant) has been developed.

\(^a\) Nuclear Technology 162, 53 (2008); 172, 48 (2010). See also “transmutation reactor” link on www.frc.gatech.edu
SABR Fast Reactor

**Axial View of Fuel Pin**
- Fuel
  - R = 2 mm
- LiNbO$_3$
  - $t = 0.3$ mm
- ODS Clad
  - $t = 0.5$ mm
- Na Gap
  - $t = 0.83$ mm

**Cross-Sectional View Fuel Assembly**
- 8.95 cm
- 15.5 cm

**Fuel Composition**
40Zr-10Am-10Np-40Pu (w/o)
(Under development at ANL)

**Design Configuration of Fuel Pin Assemblies**
- 271 pins per assembly
- 918 assemblies arranged into annular core
ITER

500 MWth Experimental Fusion Reactor Under Construction Internationally in France to Operate 2021-2040
SUB-CRITICAL ADVANCED BURNER REACTOR (SABR)

ANNULAR IFR FAST REACTOR (3000 MWth)
• Fuel—TRU from spent nuclear fuel. TRU-Zr metal being developed by ANL.
• Sodium cooled, pool-type fast reactor.
• Based on IFR fast reactor designs developed by ANL.

TOKAMAK D-T FUSION NEUTRON SOURCE (200-500 MWth)
• Based on ITER plasma physics and fusion technology.
• Tritium self-sufficient (Li$_4$SiO$_4$).
• Sodium cooled.
TRANSMUTATION PERFORMANCE
OF SABR FAST BURNER REACTORS

• *Scenario 1 Indefinite Continuation of LWRs:* A SABR would be able to fission all of the transuranics produced in 3 LWRs of 1GWe. A nuclear fleet of 75% LWRs (% nuclear electric power) and 25% SABRs would reduce geological repository requirements by a factor of >10 relative to direct burial of spent fuel from a nuclear fleet of 100% LWRs.

• *Scenario 2 Transition to Fast Reactors:* If some Pu from spent fuel transuranics was set aside for future fast reactor fuel, a SABR would be able to fission the remaining Pu and minor actinides produced by 25 LWRs of 1 GWe. A nuclear fleet of 96% LWRs and 4% SABRs would reduce needed HLWRs by a factor of 10 relative to direct burial of the remaining Pu and minor actinides from the spent fuel.
FUSION NEUTRON SOURCE DEVELOPMENT IS SYMBIOTIC WITH FUSION POWER DEVELOPMENT

ITER 2019-35

NUCL & MAT R&D 2015-50

FFH 2035-75

PROTO DEMO 2045-65

POWER REACTOR 2060

FFHs 2050

PHYSICS & TECHN R&D 2010-50
SUMMARY

• Sustainable expansion of nuclear energy requires: i) now—dealing with spent nuclear fuel; ii) mid-century--utilizing a much greater fraction of the energy content of uranium (and thorium); and iii) 2\textsuperscript{nd} half of century—producing power from nuclear fusion.

• Innovation---a subcritical IFR “burner” reactor with a fusion neutron source is proposed to reduce by >10 the number of long term geological repositories needed for secured, long-term storage of spent nuclear fuel and fission products.