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This project is examining how visualization and animation can assist parallel program development and debugging. We are creating a framework and models for the process of mapping a program's execution to an illustrative animation, and we are developing a system called PARADE, that implements these models. One key element of our work this first year has been defining a model of program execution, of the resulting animation, and methods for mapping program events into ordered animation activities. The project has three main pieces: (1) annotating programs in order to extract important semantic events from them during execution (2) creating an animation methodology and toolkit for visualizing the programs (3) developing the mapping techniques and a direct manipulation system for controlling how program events activate animation routines. We will discuss our progress on each section.

We have chosen to initially focus on parallel programs running on our Kendall Square Research machine, both parallel C and FORTRAN programs. We have developed a specification technique (can be stored in a file) that allows programmers to describe the events that their program will utilize. We have also built a tool that parses these specification files and creates a data structure describing the program events. All the tools in PARADE communicate with this tool. We also have identified techniques and program operations that can be used to gather traces from program executions. We are currently building a tool that shows the source code of a program and allows programmers to add the pertinent trace annotations. The tool takes care of issues like acquiring a global timestamp and possible contentions in writing to output trace files. This first component of PARADE is probably the one of least importance to the whole project.

The animation component of PARADE has probably come furthest of all portions of the project. We have developed an animation methodology called Polka for depicting parallel program executions. Polka's strength is that it supports continuous smooth animations with concurrent activities, critical for portraying parallel program activities. The system has also been designed to be easy to learn and understand, thus promoting its use by graphics non-experts. A paper about Polka (a preprint is attached) will appear in the Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing this summer.
Polka's animation model utilizes a number of classes of objects. Each animation window is modelled through the View class. A View defines a particular visual appearance for the program. Within a View, programmers manipulate Location, AnimObject, and Action classes to actually create the animation. AnimObjects such as lines, circles, rectangles, text, and so on, have Actions "programmed" into them to commence at particular times. Actions are typed (move, resize, color, fill, etc.) activities that objects can undergo.

We have developed a toolkit that implements the Polka model. It is implemented in C++ on top of the X Window System. We have made the toolkit available via anonymous ftp, and a number of other researchers have acquired it and begun to use it. We have also developed a 3D version of Polka that runs on Silicon Graphics workstations. A paper about this 3D work has been submitted to the '93 Visual Languages Workshop.

Using Polka we have developed a number of animations of parallel programs running on the KSR machine, on a Sequent, and on a Butterfly. For the most part, these animations have been of relatively straightforward applications such as sorting, selection, and equation solving. Nevertheless, these initial animations have done much to help us understand what portions of the animation development process we need to simplify and assist.

The final component of PARADE, the mapping component, is the focus of the doctoral thesis of a student supported by this project. Eileen Kraemer is building an animation choreographer that is responsible for gathering the program events that have been logged and structuring them according to user preferences. The animation choreographer displays an execution history graph based on the trace events, including synchronization events. Users will be able to interact with the choreographer display to control the ordering of the display events and the relative speed of the displays. Sometimes users may wish to view animations of program executions with respect to relative global timestamps. Other times, programmers may wish to view other feasible reordered executions. The choreographer must work in coordination with the Polka animation toolkit to properly display the program animations.

Another student supported by the project is developing a browser tool that will allow programmers to choose animations from a predefined collection of views. In a manner similar to how a person browses a library looking for books, programmers will be able to preview a number of animations, and then choose to map events from their program into the appropriate animation routines. We are currently identifying and building a number of views that represent common activities in parallel programs (displaying data structures, mapping processors to work, etc.) This will allow programmers to use PARADE without writing any graphics code at all. As this line of research continues, we will seek ways to allow programmers to customize the views via some direct manipulation example-based mechanism.

As the project moves into its second year, we will continue to develop all three components of PARADE as well as the tools described above. We have weekly project meeting with all the students involved in the project where we discuss how everyone’s work is proceeding. In addition to the students directly supported by the project as research assistant, other students have been working in coordination with the project. One is examining how to portray very large programs manipulating big data sets, and another is comparing and contrasting how parallel program animation development varies under different architecture models. We anticipate the animation choreographer will begin to take shape this next
year. That will drive much of the subsequent model building and development on the system. We also continue to develop a set of sample animations to show how these techniques and tools can be used to illustrate parallel programs.
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We are in the second and middle year of this research grant. To briefly review, this project is examining how visualization and animation can assist parallel program development and debugging. We are creating a framework and models for the process of mapping a program’s execution to an illustrative animation, and we are developing a system that implements these models.

The grant has helped support Drs. Appelbe and Stasko to continue their research in the visualization of concurrent systems. The principal investigators have used the grant funding for summer support, for travel support to conferences such as SuperComputing and SIGCHI, and to support graduate students. We currently have a good group of 5 Ph.D. students who are working on topics related to this research. The funding helped support the writing of a comprehensive survey of existing work on the visualization and animation of parallel and distributed systems[2].

Our current efforts are centered on the development of the PARADE (PARallel Animation Development Environment) environment for helping programmers and developers create visualizations of their parallel and distributed programs. PARADE contains a number of component projects that are critical to its overall success. We summarize these below:

- POLKA animation development toolkit - We have developed an animation toolkit called Polka that is particularly useful for building animation libraries for parallel programs. Polka is implemented in C++ on top of the X Window
System and Motif. It supports true animation - smooth, continuous movements and actions, not just blinking objects or color changes. It also supports concurrent, overlapping animation actions on multiple objects. Thus, it can properly reflect the concurrent operations occurring in a parallel program. POLKA is available via anonymous ftp and we hope researchers at other institutions will be able to use the system to help them build visualizations for their pertinent tasks. We published a paper about POLKA in this past June’s *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*[3].

- Animation libraries for specific machines and architectures - We are using the POLKA toolkit as the support layer for building visualization libraries for particular machines. In the most complete subproject to date in this area, we created a library of views for portraying Pthreads programs on Kendall Square Research machines. We call this library Gthreads and it shows how threads are created and move through the functions in a program. It also shows mutexes, barriers, etc. Just this week we added the Gthreads system to our anonymous ftp archive, and we will announce and promote the library to KSR users across the nation soon.

- Visualization of programs operating on extremely large data sets - In this project we are developing ways of portraying large programs and big data sets. This is a very challenging open problem. We are focusing on ways of presenting abstractions of the data and of how the program is operating.

- Controlling the temporal mapping of program events to their accompanying animations - This project is developing the Animation Choreographer tool of the PARADE environment. The Choreographer will allow programmers to examine execution history graphs of their programs, to apply different feasible temporal orderings to those events, and then view the resulting animations. We have recently had a paper about the Choreographer accepted at the 1994 IPPS conference. This project is the thesis work of Eileen Kraemer, whom this grant has helped support.

In addition to the work above, this grant helped support research which was reported in two extended abstracts at this past spring’s Workshop on Parallel and Distributed Debugging[1, 4].

In the upcoming year, we will continue to develop the different components of PARADE. We are looking to build program browser tools that will help programmers annotate their programs with the necessary events to drive animations. We will continue work on the Animation Choreographer—a very early prototype of it is running now, but much improvement is needed. We will look to develop animation libraries for machines other than the KSR, perhaps SIMD, massively parallel machines. Finally, we will continue to disseminate our tools free to other users who may benefit by them. We hope to get feedback from their use that may guide future research.
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PART II - SUMMARY OF COMPLETED PROJECT

The purpose of this project was to examine whether visualizations and visualization sys­
tems could assist developers of parallel and distributed programs. The project created the PARADE environment for visualizing concurrent programs. PARADE is a large collection of ideas and systems, all with a common goal. Some of the key developments of the project included

- The Animation Choreographer – A methodology and tool for coordinating the map­
ing of program events to their visualizations. In particular, the Choreographer allows programmers to view alternate, feasible executions of their code.

- The POLKA animation system – POLKA is a methodology and toolset for building color, window-based 2-D animations. It is particularly useful for building animations of the executions of concurrent programs.

- The Dual Timestamping tracing methodology – This is a technique for extracting both wall clock and logical timestamps from distributed systems.

- View libraries – We also developed a number of view libraries for different program­ming paradigms such as threads, PVM, and HPF.

PARADE has assisted many programmers in understanding their software, so a valid con­clusion of this report is that visualization tools can serve a valuable role in the development and implementation of parallel and distributed programs.
PART III - TECHNICAL INFORMATION

Software Developed and Made Available

All software mentioned below is available via anonymous ftp from ftp.cc.gatech.edu under the directory pub/people/stasko.

POLKA is a general purpose animation system that is particularly well-suited to building animations of programs, algorithms and computations, especially parallel computations. POLKA supports color, real-time, 2 & 1/2 dimensional, smooth animations. The focus of the system is on a balance of power and ease-of-use. POLKA provides its own high-level abstractions to make the creation of animations easier and faster than with many other systems. Programmers need not be graphics experts to develop their own animations.

Gthreads is an animation library with views that portray programs which use the KSR Pthreads C programming package. Gthreads is implemented on top of the POLKA system. It shows programmers information about threads, barriers, and mutexes in their code.

PVaniM is a new system that provides animated program visualizations of the executions of PVM 3.3 applications. PVaniM includes both a set of graphics views and a tracing package to drive the views. Unlike the performance visualizations of ParaGraph, PVaniM focuses on visualizations of the actual execution and correctness of a program. PVaniM provides a number of views that show different perspectives on the dynamics and history of the message passing in an application, as well as a more performance oriented Gantt chart view. Graphical objects in a PVaniM view also can be queried to determine their representation. PVaniM can animate the execution of a program according to a logical clock, thus truly presenting the (potential) concurrency of the application. Finally, PVaniM tracing provides support for custom user event tracing. Similarly, users can design and develop their own application-specific program views using the Polka animation system upon which PVaniM is built. (Polka is also available at the ftp site below.) Recently, an on-line visualization tool for PVM programs, pvanimOL, has been added.

Resulting Publications
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Abstract

This report describes the PARADE visualization environment. PARADE supports the design and implementation of software visualizations of parallel and distributed programs. It contains primary components for monitoring a program's execution, building the software visualization, and mapping the execution to the visualization. In this report we provide brief descriptions of many of the projects that comprise the PARADE environment, and we provide references to more detailed information on the projects.
1 Introduction

Every year an increasing amount of software is being written for parallel and distributed computers. Unfortunately, parallel programs are more difficult to write, debug, evaluate, optimize, and understand than serial programs because of the concurrency they manifest. Programmers must coordinate and synchronize communication between processes, they must control access to shared resources, and they must carry these actions out as efficiently as possible.

One approach to facilitating the growth of parallel and distributed programming involves the development of new programming languages and new hardware. Recently, however, researchers have begun to focus on the importance of good software tools to assist developers of concurrent programs[Che93, PC94]. These tools include debuggers, performance monitors, execution analysis and replay tools, and other aids.

Our research also has focused on tools for program understanding and development, but we have a different emphasis: software visualization tools[SP92]. A key component of understanding a program execution is knowing what is occurring in the program, how individual processes are working, and how they are communicating. By visualizing the operations of a program, we help convey to the programmer what those operations are, and if they are behaving in the desired manner.

Software visualization taps into the highly developed visual systems of humans. People have a tremendous ability to track patterns, observe images, and detect anomalies in the things they see. A well constructed picture, diagram, or visualization can communicate much more information in a small space than a corresponding textual description[Tuf83, Tuf90].

Recently, increasing attention has focused on the use of software visualization to assist parallel programming (see [KS93] in particular and [CE93] for a collection of articles on this topic). This paper is a progress report on a project called PARADE (PARallel program Animation Development Environment) that was started in 1991. Its goal was to develop an environment that facilitates the creation and use of visualizations by programmers developing concurrent programs. A report roughly summarizing the original proposal for the project can be found in [SAK91]. Primary support for the project has been a three year National Science Foundation grant (CCR-9121607). Portions of the project also have been supported by Kendall Square Research and by an Intel Graduate Fellowship.

In the remainder of this report we describe the current status of the PARADE environment and we describe the components and sub-projects within it.

2 Overview

A number of objectives have guided our efforts in building the PARADE environment:

- PARADE should support visualizations of many different types of programs from different architectures, different programming models and languages, and different applications. It should support the creation of automatic, canonical program views as well as application-specific, algorithm animation style views. It should support both performance visualizations and correctness visualizations.
The environment should be easy to use. A developer need not be a graphics expert to work with it.

The visualizations developed in the environment should be relatively sophisticated and also aesthetically pleasing. They should support color, animation, and be able to depict concurrency in a program's execution.

The environment should support visualizations that portray other feasible program executions. That is, a viewer may wish to examine a program execution as it occurred with respect to a global clock, as it occurred under some logical clock ordering[Lam78], or as it might have occurred under some other valid event ordering.

It is easy to see how these objectives have led us to develop a flexible environment with many different components and tools, as opposed to a monolithic system built to do only one thing. In fact, the PARADE environment can be conceptualized as having three primary components. Figure 1 presents a high-level overview of the organization of PARADE.

The first component is the program monitoring aspect of the environment. Basically, to drive a visualization, information about the program's execution is required. Many details about the execution must be known in order to build an appropriate visual presentation of it.

The third component of the environment, shown to the right side of Figure 1, is the support visualization/animation system. In PARADE the Polka animation system is used to build all the graphical views. Polka runs on top of the X Window System and it achieves the objectives mentioned earlier.

The second or middle component of the environment provides the mapping from program execution data to appropriate visualization actions. In PARADE, simple mappings are possible, but usually we utilize a system called the Animation Choreographer. The Choreographer's primary functionality is to control the temporal mapping of program oper-
ations to visualization actions. In particular, it provides the capability to view animations of the program execution under different logical orderings of program events or operations.

In the next section we expand on the descriptions of these three components and describe our progress to date on each.

3 PARADE Components

3.1 Program Monitoring

To build a visualization of a program execution, adequate descriptive information about the execution is required. For example, a programmer may want to know which processes are active, which functions are being invoked, what the values of variables are, and so on. Often, this program monitoring can be the most challenging problem in building a software visualization.

In order to learn about a program's execution, we must instrument it at some level to transmit tracing information. Hardware level instrumentation is sometimes available, but it is usually too low level except for things such as performance monitoring. Therefore, we rely on software-level instrumentation which can be utilized at many levels such as the operating system, the run-time system, system-supplied libraries, libraries used as alternatives to system-supplied libraries, or in the source code of the program under study. Typically, software level instrumentation is very machine and language specific, however, so building a general monitoring mechanism is unrealistic. In PARADE we utilize techniques that are specific to the machine and language of the intended application, but all these different techniques have some common, general principles.

Perturbation of the program under study is also a side effect of instrumentation. In PARADE we have not focused on the perturbation issue. We simply make an effort to minimize its influence whenever possible using established techniques.

A key issue in program monitoring is whether the software visualization will run on-line (display as the program runs with some relative time delay) or post-mortem (the program produces a trace which is post-processed at a later time). In PARADE our primary method of operation is to use post-mortem visualization with trace files. This is necessary to take full advantage of the Animation Choreographer. It also allows us to minimize perturbation, as we can utilize substantial buffering in our software-level instrumentation.

The techniques for performing on-line visualization in PARADE involve mechanisms to transmit program events to the animation component in a timely fashion. It is more complex than a simple transmit/receive action though. Such an approach breaks down due to transmission latency or lack of synchronization in timestamps across processes. For instance, it would not be uncommon for the animation to receive a *message receive* program event before the corresponding *message send* program event. Our approach uses filters that preserve the causal ordering of program events by applying simple ordering rules to the event transmissions[GEK+94].

To generate post-mortem visualizations with PARADE, the primary method used in the environment, we utilize three different software instrumentation techniques. Below we describe these in decreasing level of programmer involvement.
The most basic way to gather execution information is to have the programmer hand annotate his or her source code with output statements. Typically, a `print` statement is added that will produce a line of output containing the event name or type, a process-id, a timestamp if available and any other event specific parameters. The programmer can have all processes write to one file (contention is a clear problem here) or each process can write its information to a unique file. Because of the direct programmer involvement and amount of work required, this method can be time-consuming and error-prone. Nevertheless, it is the one method that is truly general, and it is the method that can produce the most detail about a program's execution. For example, if a visualization requires the value of a program variable at key points, hand annotation may be the only adequate instrumentation technique.

The second method of software instrumentation we have utilized is to override a standard parallel communication library with a set of replacement macros. For example, KSR machines provide a C library called pthreads that includes basic process control and communication calls such as `pthread_create`, `pthread_mutex_init`, `pthread_barrier_checkin`, and so on. We have developed a set of macros called gthreads that can be used to monitor KSR pthreads programs[ZS95]. In essence, we define a macro for each pthread call that first writes a trace event of that call, then calls the original pthread routine. Because this method can only trace actions that correspond to pthreads routines, we added two supplemental calls, `gthread_enter` and `gthread_back`, that programmers can add to their source. These macros are used to signal function entry and exit, tracing information not available from the basic pthreads calls. The monitoring information from all these macros serves as the input to a visualization package we have developed for KSR pthreads programs. It will be discussed more thoroughly in the next section. The pthreads monitoring macros are available via anonymous ftp from the machine `ftp.cc.gatech.edu` as the file `pub/people/stasko/gthread.KSRtracing.tar.Z`.

The third and least programmer-involved method we have used to gather post-mortem trace information is to actually modify the resident parallel communication library for a system. We utilize this approach with Conch, an experimental heterogeneous network computing system[BFK+94]. Conch contains communication primitives for send-receive communication, barriers, rendezvous, and so on. We have modified the native code of these routines to support run-time activation or deactivation of the trace production[TSS94]. At run-time the programmer simply specifies a command-line flag to turn on tracing. We also have provided a way to gather more "subtle," application-specific trace information from a Conch program, that is, information not available from the communication calls. We have added the routine `c_parade_log()` that a programmer can place anywhere in source code. This routine generates a trace event in a manner similar to a `C printf` statement, but it only works when tracing is turned on.

Our monitoring techniques in Conch also are unique in the addition of logical clock timestamping. Determining an ordering of events across processors is difficult in a distributed system. We have added a logical clock to the system to help alleviate this problem.

The monitoring in Conch occurs with minimal perturbation as well. Program execution times with tracing on are quite close to those without tracing.

All these techniques to extract program execution data have a common element. They produce trace records or events that capture important operations in a program. In PARADE we have developed a specification file format that captures and describes what this
monitoring information will be for a particular program. Below is an example of a simple event specification file.

```
KSR_C
1

INIT:id INIT:_synch pid:d ts:d
INPUT:id pid:d ts:d index:d value:d
READY:id pid:d ts:d index:d
EXCHANGE:id pid:d ts:d from:d to:d
FORK:id FORK:_synch pid:d ts:d forkedpid:d
```

The first line always describes the environment (machine and language) of the program, and the second line tells the field in which the event type or name will appear in all the event records. Subsequent lines describe the different event types and detail the trailing arguments of each. Left-hand sides provide parameter names which can be special reserved symbols such as `pid` (process-id) or `ts` (timestamp), or they can be user defined values such as `index`. Right hand sides of parameters specify the type (printf argument style) of the parameter.

We also have created these type of specification format files for the other two primary components of the PARADE environment: the visualization and the event-to-visualization mapping. All three specification files are used as input to the Animation Choreographer when a user generates a software visualization of a program execution. Details of this process will be described later in the report.

### 3.2 Visualizations

Visualizations in PARADE are built using the Polka animation system[SK92, SK93]. Polka supports color, 2-D visualizations, and in particular, it provides high-level primitives for smooth animation effects. It also supports independent scheduling and execution of animation actions, thus permitting easy design of concurrent animation scenarios.

This last capability is important because of the number of different ways a concurrent program may execute. On one run, an essentially serial ordering of operations may occur, and the animation of the program should reflect this. On another run, a number of operations may occur concurrently (or be thought of as logically concurrent) and the program animation should illustrate this concurrency. Most importantly, the same visualization code should suffice to illustrate both scenarios; the animation designer should not be forced to write different code for each potential scenario. Polka meets these expectations.

Polka provides an object-oriented design model to developers. Animations can include any number of windows or Views. Within a View, a designer utilizes Location, AnimObject, and Action objects to implement the animation activities. The focus of the system has been to provide sophisticated graphics capabilities, yet keep the paradigm easy to learn and use. Very expressive, complex animations can be developed with relatively little code.

Polka is implemented in C++ on top of the X Window System and Motif. It is available via anonymous ftp as the file pub/people/stasko/polka.tar.Z on the machine ftp.cc.gatech.edu. Detailed documentation and example animations are provided with
the distribution. We also have developed a 3-D version of Polka written in GL on Silicon Graphics workstations[SW92, SW93]. We have used it to develop a number of interesting software visualizations of parallel programs as well.

As was done in the program monitoring component, we have created a specification format describing a Polka visualization. A sample visualization specification appears below.

```
View BlocksView qsort.H
Init name:s
Input pid:d ts:d pos:d value:f
Ready pid:d ts:d totalnum:d
Exchange pid:d ts:d pos1:d pos2:d

View Chart qsort.H
Init name:s
Bounds ts:d pid:d num:d
Swap ts:d pid:d pos1:d pos2:d
```

The two sections here define the two different Views (windows) of the animation. This particular animation is the one discussed in the next paragraph. Below each View name (with the file in which the include information appears) are listed the individual animation scenes (C++ member functions) provided by the View. The first argument is the scene name and the trailing arguments are the parameters to the scene function.

Many different software visualizations and animations of concurrent programs have been built using Polka. At one level, it is possible to build an application-specific visualization of a particular program by writing the Polka code oneself. For instance, Figure 2 shows a two View animation of a parallel quicksort program. The left View shows the classic algorithm animation style blocks sorting view (we use color to indicate the process responsible for a comparison or exchange of an element) and the right View encodes time along its y-dimension to portray the history of exchanges in the program. This entire animation with smooth motion and potentially concurrent animation effects takes only 175 lines of Polka code.

At a second level, it is possible to use Polka to build a canonical view library for a particular machine or programming paradigm. Then, software developers simply use the library as an application—they write no Polka code themselves.

We have done this for a few different programming paradigms. Figure 3 shows the set of views built for the KSR pthreads package mentioned earlier in the Program Monitoring section[ZS95]. These views highlight the state of threads, barriers, and mutexes; They show where each thread is within the program call graph, and they show a history of the threads over time.

Figure 4 shows the set of views developed for the Conch distributed system also mentioned earlier[TSS95b]. Here, views show message communication between processes, the status of different processing elements, and the history of the computation. We currently also are developing a visualization library for the PVM[Sun90] distributed system[TSS95a]. It will soon be available via anonymous ftp at the site mentioned at the end of this report.

Figure 5 shows our preliminary work in building a view library for High Performance FORTRAN programs. Individual views here show the processor grid, data distribution,
Figure 2: Two Views from an application-specific animation of a parallel quicksort program.

Figure 3: Library of views used to illustrate KSR pthreads programs.
Figure 4: Library of views used to illustrate Conch programs. Particular importance is paid to message sends and receives.
Figure 5: Library of views used to illustrate High Performance FORTRAN programs. This view illustrates important arrays in the program. Color is used to indicate the different processors and the important arrays manipulated in the program. Color, image flashing, and arrows are used to indicate data access, movement, and work between the processors.

Finally, views of the state of cthreads programs have been developed using Polka as well [GEK+94].

Moving to the actual design of animations themselves, one key challenge is how to "scale up" the views. That is, how do we visualize very large programs or execution of programs on very large data sets? We utilize a concept called semantic zooming that is useful in such situations [MS95]. With semantic zooming, we can present the entire program and its data set within one view by using abstraction and clustering. From there viewers can interactively select graphical objects to zoom in on areas of interest. But rather than zoom in by a straightforward magnification, the view adjusts to the next semantic level and the presentation may change dramatically.

Figure 6 shows the data in a parallel sort of 10,000 elements. Each rectangle represents a contiguous 10% of the values being sorted, and geometric properties of each rectangle depict the maximum, minimum, average, and sortedness of the region. When a rectangle is selected, a new view is shown, focusing only on the selected portion of the array. As less and less elements are shown in the view, the presentation adjusts to provide more detail.

We also have used the Polka-3D system to build visualizations of large programs. In particular, we used natural 3-D perspective and zooming to depict executions of program running on a MasPar machine [WS93].
Figure 6: Visualization of sort of 10,000 elements using a semantic zooming technique. This animation allows a viewer to examine characteristics of the entire data set and to zoom in on particular regions of the array.
To further help simplify the development of Polka visualizations, we are currently working on a tool that will allow users to build visualizations without any textual graphics coding. The tool will provide a number of view templates for things such as scalar variable views, grids, graphs, charts, etc. Individual attributes of these views such as size, color, level, and value will be modifiable through point-and-click direct manipulation means. Each attribute will be able to be "attached" to particular values from program events. The designer will be able to interactively specify the mapping between the two.

3.3 Program-to-Animation Mapping

The third component of the PARADE environment is the mapping from program executions to their animations. At a first glance this may seem unnecessary—Whenever an event or a state change occurs in a program, we could simply display the corresponding animation. This solution might be sufficient for serial programs, but we believe it is inadequate for concurrent programs.

One basic problem with this approach is how to decide when to display concurrent animations (program execution events) in a view window. If the timestamp between two events is very small, are they concurrent? Similarly, if two events can logically be thought of as simultaneous (or potentially simultaneous), are they concurrent?

In the PARADE framework, we believe these questions must be answered by the programmer or viewer. That is, we define a number of different temporal perspectives under which an animation of a program execution can be viewed. We provide a system and interface, the Animation Choreographer, that allows a viewer to interactively choose one of these perspectives and to further adjust the perspective interactively[KS94a, KS94b].

The Animation Choreographer of PARADE must know the operations of the program being visualized, the set of available animation views and scenes, and the mappings between these two sets. The first two are described by the event and visualization specification files described in the prior two sections. The third is described by a mapping specification file, an example of which is shown below.

```
INIT -> BlocksView.Init 1 ti 3
INIT -> Chart.Init ti 1
1 -> BlocksView.NewVal 1 ti 4
READY -> Chart.Axes ti 1 @0.0 @10.0
```

Each line defines a program event to animation scene mapping. The first line states that an INIT event in the program should be presented by calling the Init scene of the BlocksView view. The trailing parameters describe which arguments of the program event should be passed to the scene, whose parameters are specified in the linear order in which they appear. Values preceded by the '@' character mean to always pass a literal value to the scene for that parameter. The 'ti' characters mean that the Choreographer should pass the time (when to schedule the animation actions) in as that parameter.

Once the Choreographer has these three specification files, it knows how to proceed with generating animations for this program. We use a Choreographer generator program that reads all three specification files and outputs the source code for a Choreographer appropriate to this particular animation. This source code is compiled together with generic
Choreographer code and the Polka animation code to generate the final binary. At run-time the Animation Choreographer reads the set of post-mortem trace files from a program, then it is ready for interaction. A summary of this framework in PARADE is shown in Figure 7.

The Animation Choreographer portrays a program execution as a directed acyclic graph whose nodes are events. This depiction is presented in Figure 8. Each column corresponds to a process or thread, and time starts from the top of the display and proceeds downward. Dependences between events, such as a send-receive pair, are indicated by an edge between the events.

The Ordering menu at the top contains our default temporal orderings of program events. Currently, it includes

- **Timestamp** – Portray the events at times consistent and relative to how they occurred with respect to a global clock.
- **Serial** – Portray a serialization of all the events using their causal order.
- **Minimal distortion** – Portray the events relative to how they occurred in global time, but resolve problems in the logical or causal order.
- **Maximum concurrency** – Portray the events as they would occur to generate maximum concurrency under their causal ordering.

When a viewer selects one of these options, the presented event graph adjusts itself to reflect the selected ordering. Choosing the Run option then starts the animation of the program execution under that temporal ordering. When the maximum concurrency ordering is chosen, for instance, the animation displays have many concurrent animation actions occurring at once. When timestamp order is chosen, one often encounters large bursts of animation followed by idle periods, thus mimicking (of course at a slower rate) the actual program execution.

To achieve this functionality we needed to analyze the semantics of the different communication and synchronization operations of the program being examined. Obviously, these primitives vary between machines, architectures, and languages. Currently, the Choreographer “understands” shared memory type primitives as exemplified by cthreads or pthreads (barrier, mutex, condition, etc.) and message passing primitives common on distributed systems such as PVM and Conch. We continue to add more semantics.

Additionally in PARADE we have built a few animations not using the Animation Choreographer to do mappings. Essentially, these animations use a particular hard-wired choice of one of the Choreographer mappings. When a particular perspective is sought and a low-tech solution is sufficient, this approach is reasonable.

4 Using PARADE

In this section we briefly summarize how programmers use the PARADE environment to visualize their programs and code. Let us begin with the case where the program trace events are generated “automatically” (tracing of parallel primitives has been activated through a macro or run-time library approach) and the visualization is predefined. This is the situation with the KSR pthreads and the Conch visualizations discussed in prior sections. In this
Figure 7: Overview of how the Choreographer fits within the PARADE environment.
Figure 8: User interface for the Animation Choreographer that presents the ordering and constraints between program execution events.
case, Animation Choreographers for each of these programming environments can be predeveloped since all three specification files are known a priori. Consequently, a programmer simply runs his or her program, gathers the trace files, and then invokes the appropriate Choreographer with the trace files' name as an argument. The Choreographer starts up, displays the graph interface, and allows the viewer to interact with it in order to view animations of program executions under different temporal orderings.

Now consider a scenario in which a programmer is building an application-specific, algorithm animation style visualization of a program. Here, the programmer must generate semantic events beyond the simple parallel primitives, and the programmer hand codes the visualization with Polka. In this situation, the programmer carries out the steps below to generate a PARADE visualization.\(^1\) Figure 7 can be used as a helpful summary of this process also.

1a. Design and implement the Polka animation views and scenes for the visualization. Compile this code to make an object file.

1b. Annotate the concurrent program with output statements so that it generates the desired trace events. Run the program and gather the trace files.

2. Create the program event, visualization, and mapping specification text files.

3. Run the Animation Choreographer generator program with the three specification files as input. It will generate source code for the application-specific Choreographer used in the next step.

4. Compile the Choreographer source code and link it with the Polka scenes code from step 1a and the generic Animation Choreographer object code in order to create the Choreographer binary.

5. Run the Animation Choreographer, giving it the trace files from step 1b as input. Now the viewer interacts with the interface and watches animations.

Clearly, this last scenario is involved enough so that it is impractical for day-to-day debugging chores. Rather, it is useful when a particular program requires detailed study or a person wants to prepare a visualization as an explanatory instructional aid. The first scenario in which a programmer simply runs their program and then invokes a pre-built Choreographer is appropriate for program testing, debugging, and optimization chores, we believe.

5 Conclusion

In this article we have described the current status of the PARADE environment for visualizing concurrent program executions. PARADE consists of three primary components: Program monitoring and tracing, a visualization system, and the mapping from program actions to their visualizations. Currently, a number of different projects are underway in each of these components. This report has provided a brief summary of those efforts and it also serves as a reference on where to acquire more detailed information about them.

\(^1\)Lettered steps within one number signify that they can be done in any order. The numerical steps must be carried out in the specified order.
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