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Man-power

Four millions of the best man-power of Europe perished in the Napoleonic conquests. Military conquest is non-creative, while industry is always creative.

In the last ten years one American manufacturer—the General Electric Company—has created machines having a man-power forty times as great as that of all the lives lost in the Napoleonic wars.

GENERAL ELECTRIC
A QUESTION
OF FAIRNESS

From all indications, it is quite apparent that the present day loyalty to a college revolves around the success or failure of its football team. Of course, that isn’t stating the facts mildly, at all, but it comes very close to hitting the nail on the head, in most instances.

That great game, calling for everything best from its participants, has firmly established itself as one of the most outstanding of modern sports and it deserves all the FAIR SUPPORT that its thousands of red-blooded followers may have to offer.

Even the most rabid of us know that, after all, those fine contests of manhood and character are but minor parts of the very great and greater things in college life; and, if we haven’t lost all sense of proportion, it must be remembered that in the contests themselves the biggest things of the game are not shown in the won or lost columns!

This brings us a little closer to home and more to our immediate point—For quite a long space of years Georgia Tech has either been on top or next to the top in Southern football standing and it bids fair to uphold that standard this year, with but one conference defeat so far—and a Sophomore team, as a whole!

Of course, if a head on a charger must be presented every year that the team fails to win a championship, then occasionally a head will have to bow. Fortunately that is not the case, but if any one is sure that he can produce a yearly winner and is willing to wager his neck on the proposition, just walk up to the chopping-block and don’t worry about being crowded. In fact, one can be generous and propose only to produce a next-to-the-topper or take to the ax, and still he need have no fear of a muttitudinous rush.

A few pages over in this issue, there is a comparison of the yearly scores between the “Southern National Champion” and Georgia Tech and the information isn’t odious either. The National Champion in question, by the way, is fast on its way to repeat last year’s performance and, with no discredit to that fine team, all fair-minded observers must admit that Georgia Tech teams have been nationally prominent for as long as and usually longer than those of any other Southern institution.

Refer to the conference schedules printed in the October ALUMNUS, how many teams in the country, year in and year out, take on such a formidable host of opponents? Look at it again, and note carefully that these adversities, without an exception hardly, schedule a light game before the one with Georgia Tech—what does that mean, and how many rest games are there for the Jackets? All thinking alumni know, too, that there is no “let up” on the part of the faculty—athlete or no athlete—a number of our best stars fail to report every year, as a consequence of the school’s just but rigid standards; this year, the team was particularly hurt in that respect.

The old varsity men, as a rule, are very loyal to the team and all of its directors; these former players have stood the gaff and know the ins and outs of the game. The super-critics, thank goodness, are in the minority and are usually those who don’t know what “gaff” means. This article is written for their information, in particular, and for all Georgia Tech men in general. It’s no abih to the inside or outside world—our teams and our institution have nothing for which to offer any excuse!
If Georgia Tech's good-seat-getting, "thumbs down" friends were not accustomed to seeing a victorious Jacket team perhaps they would be more lenient with their mental thumb twisting or certainly be neutral to the city in which they live or did live when a team, with which they have no contact, opposes the team of the college from whom they have obtained choice reservations; this particular item applies, of course, to those so constituted, at large, and not to the alumni.

It doesn't take much of a man to support a winner, but the time to show your colors is when your team checks in a loss, should it happen to get down occasionally as far as next to the top. So at least be fair to your Alma Mater and Varsities—also, differentiate between the two—remembering, of course, that constructive criticism is always invited; it is the "shoulder to the wheel" kind and that which receives every consideration.

* * * * *

FROM THE STUDENT In an editorial headed POINT OF VIEW "Congratulations To The Crimson," Georgia Tech's weekly student publication of October 22nd, expressed some pertinent views, as follows:

"The Technique takes this opportunity to express congratulations to the Crimson Tide. Alabama has one of the best teams that ever invaded Grant field, one of which not only the state of Alabama but the entire South should be proud of. We do not believe that they will take their place with the fall of Champions. Football is fast reaching a stage in Dixie which places us on a level with the best in the country. We would stack the Crimson against any of them.

Let us say that we lost to a team much superior to ours. It is a tradition at Tech to win football games. Our past record is unsurpassed. Now that the Tornado is blowing in a lean period, no longer the favorite in all the games but rated as the underdog, it is up to us to build back to our former heights. The old habit of going to the games expecting a victory and not getting disappointed, is gone. We are at a loss with this new condition that confronts us.

It is time for the Great Tech Spirit to fight at a new angle, to struggle out of this habit of cheating and praise because we are victorious. We must cheer and fight for victory.

After winning a game on grit alone over the Green Wave we lost to the Crimson, the worst defeat that a Tech team has suffered by a southern team in years. Alabama is glorious in victory. She rests at the peak of Southern football, nay World football. Our congratulations. It is now the future that we must work for. May it hold brighter prospects and every Tech man fight back for his rights."

**Portrait of Dr. Hopkins**

Presented to School

The portrait of Dr. I. S. Hopkins, first president of Georgia Tech, was presented at an impressive ceremony held in Tech Chapel, Tuesday Oct. 12.

Mr. and Mrs. Thomas Hopkins of Orlando, Fla., donated the portrait which was painted by Miss Katherine Edwards, a well-known portrait painter, from a photograph of the late Dr. Hopkins.

Mr. I. S. Hopkins, Jr., made the speech of presentation and was introduced by President Brittain. Mr. Hopkins outlined the history of the life of President Hopkins and told of the way in which the idea of a great technical school was evolved in the little workshop on Emory's campus where Hopkins loved to spend his free hours in the creation of objects by the skill of his hands. Not only did he work there but he also interested numbers of boys who loved to spend their idle hours in learning the fundamental principles of engineering and later, our President Brittain was one of his students.

It was through President Hopkins and Mr. N. E. Harris of the state legislature that Tech received the first appropriation which enabled it to become a reality.

The speech of acceptance was made by President Brittain and he spoke of President Hopkins, "a man marked for grace, eloquence, his never failing courtesy, for his mastery of English, a model engineer." Dr. Brittain also spoke of the need of following the scholastic policy of Dr. Hopkins in giving the aspiring engineer a thorough training in the graces as well as a careful preparation in technical subjects.

**A Suggestion About Football**

(Reprinted from the Oct. 19th, issue of "Information" with the permission of the publisher, Mr. Ivy L. Lee)

The following letter was forwarded October 13, 1926, to Mr. W. W. Roper, head coach of the Princeton University football team:

My dear Mr. Roper:

I want to congratulate you on making that agreement with Yale prohibiting scouting. That is a fine sportsmanlike step forward and should improve athletic conditions very much indeed.

May I venture to make a suggestion, which probably has been considered by you before, but which nevertheless would, I feel sure, add an element of interest to football which is now, to some extent lacking? My suggestion is this:

Form a rule, from the moment the whistle is blown until the last man is out of the game, no one is allowed to coach whatever his actual players happen to be.

In other words, the outsider on the sidelines would be as much out of the game as the doctor, or the photographer, or the apparently physically uninterested man to be chosen by the captain and the captain only. Any suggestion of the game itself would be forbidden.

Of course the side with the game not mere superior players, but the skill of the two systems of brains. I have
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Standing Left to Right: Miss Kate Edwards, Artist; Dr. M. J. Brittain, President of Georgia Tech; I. S. Hopkins, Atlanta Attorney and youngest son of Dr. Hopkins and H. J. Hopkins, another son of the first Tech president.

Form a rule which would provide that, from the moment the whistle blows at the beginning of the game until the final whistle blows, there shall be no coaching whatever of either team other than by the actual players themselves.

In other words, put up an absolute barrier, as far as possible, to communication between coaches and all outsiders on the one hand, and the team itself on the other from the beginning to the end of the game. The only power I would give to the people on the side lines would be to withdraw a man from participation in the game when, in the judgment of the trainer, the doctor, or the coach, any member of the team was apparently physically unable to continue playing. If any man was taken out of the game, however, the man to be chosen in his place should be selected by the Captain and the entire responsibility for the strategy of the game itself should be in the hands of the Captain.

Of course the object of such a rule is to make the game not merely a contest of the brawn and physical skill of the two teams, but also a contest between their brains. I have often felt that with an able coach like yourself, or Walter Camp, or Rockne, it was possible to take a team of physically powerful or agile men or men with average football ability and have the coach do so much thinking for the team that the result of the game would be often due to the skillful and quick thinking of the coach rather than to the mental ability of the members of the victorious team.

In preparing for an inter-collegiate debate, the faculty or alumni coach their respective teams. But when the contest is on, the coaches retire and leave the whole situation to the skill of the debaters. Why isn't a football game an analogous situation?

Obviously, it would be difficult to frame such a rule as that proposed and make it effective unless it was backed up, as your agreement regarding scouting, by a pledge of the good faith of both sides. But I am sure that Yale, Harvard, and Princeton could frame a rule covering this subject which, with the backing of their spirit of sportsmanship and good faith, could be made absolutely effective.

With kind regards and all good wishes, I am

Faithfully yours,

IVY L. LEE, Princeton '98.
The suggestions as stated show the trend of some of the present thought on football.

It is quite interesting to know that coincident with Mr. Roper’s agreement, the Georgia School of Technology did not scout its first two opponents this year and got very little information—and that wrong—on the third, but won that particular game, nevertheless. The fourth opponent of the season was well scouted but won a substantial victory.

A very good idea of the “non-scouted game” we understand, was the Alabama-Washington contest at Pasadena, last fall.

WGST ANNOUNCERS ELECTED BY RADIO FANS

November Program Interesting

On Thursday evening October seventh WGST held the annual announcer’s try-out to determine the announcers for the coming season. These try-outs were instituted three years ago with the opening of the station and each year have been met by an ever increasing response by the radio public. This year several hundred radio fans responded to the call and after carefully compiling the results the electrical engineering department announces the winners.

The winner of first place was Bill Scherffius the lanky South African. “Duke” was a second string announcer last year and is also conducting the radio column in the “Technique” this year. Second in order comes W. W. Merkle, Junior E. E. who was also heard over WGST last year and who will assume the mantle of chief announcer for 1927-28. The third place was won by Jimmy Banton, Senior E. E., who will alternate with Merkle on the Monday night programs. Jimmy is a new man on the microphone but the votes he received testify to his announcing ability.

There were seventeen contestants in the try-outs and competition was very keen, the distribution of the votes indicating the general high standard of the aspirants to radio honors. WGST has planned a very attractive program for the coming season, and radio fans will have ample opportunity to hear the new announcers display their talents this Winter.

The November program is, as follows:

Monday, Nov. 1. Mr. Joseph Hannah, Jr., violinist; Mr. Vivian McGowan, pianist.

Thursday, Nov. 4. Mrs. Charles Chalmers, Pianist and sponsor, assisted Mr. Charles Chalmers, baritone; talk by Dr. J. B. Crenshaw, Professor of Modern Languages.


Thursday, Nov. 11. Mrs. Julian De Bruyn Kops, soprano and sponsor.

(A program of opera selections)

Talk by “Uncle Heine!” Henika on the subject “Some uses of Cabbage Palmetto.” (Note: This Nov. 11 is Uncle Heine’s 71st birthday.)

Monday, Nov. 15. Program by the Georgia Tech Glee Club Orchestra, Bill Walton, leader.

Thursday, Nov. 18. Mrs. G. E. Cooper, contralto and sponsor; talk by Prof. C. L. Utterback of the Physics Dept., on the subject “The Solar Constant and Weather Forecasting.”

Monday, Nov. 22. Mr. Curry S. Robey, baritone; Mr. Ralph Conklin, pianist. Both these musicians are Tech Alumni.

Thursday, Nov. 25. Mr. W. C. Herbert, baritone and sponsor; talk by Professor E. S. Hannaford of the Electrical Engineering Department. Subject “Evidence of the Jazz period in Engineering Education.”

Monday, Nov. 29. The Tech Trio: Mr. Frank Burr, flute; Mr. Joseph Hannah, Jr., violin; Mrs. C. C. Shumard, piano; Mrs. Lee D. Tabler, pianist.

W. G. S. T. broadcasts on a wavelength of 270.1 meters, frequency 1110 kilocycles.

The Monday or Tech Night programs from 9-10 p. m., C. S. T. are furnished by Tech students and faculty; there are no talks, as a rule, given on these nights.

On Thursday nights, 7-8 p. m., C. S. T., the Artist Series programs are given. The short talks at the end of the hour usually by Tech professors, add the only college flavor to the Thursday programs.

TULANE 6—TECH 9

The Golden Tornado of old stormed on Grant Field, Saturday, October 9, when Tech sent the Green Wave down for a 9-6 count.

Breaks in the game were many and both teams advanced the ball to within a few yards of the goal only to be frustrated before the goal line could be crossed.

In the first quarter it was up and down, with Tulane first threatening Tech dangerously on the three yard line. But the Golden line held, and Tulane did not score that quarter. Tech punt out of danger and the play went on in the middle of the field for the most part until the second quarter.

Red Baron and Sam Murray and Bob Parham began to function in great shape soon after the start of the quarter and forced the ball down the field by straight football for first down after first down until the march for the goal line was stopped by a heavy penalty.

A few exchanges of punts and it was Tulane’s ball on Tech’s 35 yard line. This time the Green Wave rolled down to 16 from the UH 18. The final result was a touchdown on a 45-yard pass.

In the second quarter, Tech was able to put on a drive and had straightened up tally of their backs then.

Tech received the kickoff and went out with a break, and the score was set up beneath the goal line on the 19. The Jackets crossed the goal line on the 19 before the defense could defend.

Jacket team went to Tech from there and the Rock carried the ball in for the score. The Jackets got through Downs.

Tulane failed to score on the next possession but it was Tech’s job to stop all the Tulane drives.

This time they did; Tech’s Railway was pressed but partly in the end zone.

In the second half, Tech was able to put on a drive and had straightened up tally of their backs then.

Tech received the kickoff and went out with a break, and the score was set up beneath the goal line on the 19. The Jackets crossed the goal line on the 19 before the defense could defend.

Jacket team went to Tech from there and the Rock carried the ball in for the score. The Jackets got through Downs.

Tulane failed to score on the next possession but it was Tech’s job to stop all the Tulane drives.

This time they did; Tech’s Railway was pressed but partly in the end zone.

The world is mending; the score is 16-14 from the UH 18. The final result was a touchdown on a 45-yard pass.

With fast ball and strong teamwork, the Tech won the game. The game was a very solid affair and the good side is the winning team. The Public,” Tech fans were heard to say, “we opened up.
rolled down the field partly by brute strength and partly in the air, and finally a completed pass which resulted in a touchdown. Goal was not kicked.

In the second half the game went on with no side able to put over any dangerous work. However, Tech had straightened up for a scrap and goaded by the tally of their opponents went into the fray with a will.

Tech received a setback when Owen Pool was taken out with a broken collar bone which has put him on the bench for some time. Peter Pund, a recruit from last year's freshman team went in at center and gave an excellent account of himself in his Captain's place.

The Jackets now began to realize the seriousness of the situation and presently had the Tulaners walled up beneath their own goal. With the ball in its possession a touchdown seeming only a question of time before the driving attacks of the Tech backs as the Jacket team went down the field. Six yards separated Tech from the goal line and it was fourth down. Barron carried the ball for an end run but a Tulaner got through and Shaughnessy's eleven had the ball on downs.

Tulane failed to punt out of danger and once more it was Tech's ball on Tulane's forty-five yard line. This time the Tornado went forward in earnest and presently Red Barron dived head first over the line for a touchdown. The kick put Tech in the lead by one point.

On the kickoff, Tech got the ball on a fumble and drove down the field to put Tulane in a bad position on her nine yard line. The wave tried dangerous passing game, but Sam Murray slipped through the line and downed the Tulaner back behind the goal for two more points for Tech. Then it was up and down and toward the last Tulane started an air drive that netted several long gains and had the ball in Tech territory when the whistle ended the game.

Tech won a great victory and with it won back that old drive and confidence that marked teams of old.

**ALABAMA 21—TECH 0**

The world champions came to Atlanta on October 16 from the University of Alabama in all their crimson glory and defeated a fighting Tech team 21 to 0.

With fast backfield men and a heavy line the Tide drove the Tornado before them, but not without the realization that they were playing a football team. When Tech had the ball they too went for good gains, and the good work of Johnny Marshall at end showed up brilliantly even after his old wound had been opened up.

(Continued on next page)
Georgia Tech Band in "T" Formation

With forward passing down to near perfection, Alabama went over for a touchdown in the first quarter a few minutes before the period was up.

In the second quarter Tech made good gains, but penalties and some uncertain decisions put the team on the defensive before a driving and flying onslaught from the Crimson. Alabama worked its way once more near the goal line and threw a forward pass that netted the second touchdown of the game. Alabama 14; Tech 0.

The score does not indicate the hard fighting team that Tech had out on the field. With Barron and Poole on the bench the Jackets were crippled considerably, but Shorty Smith carried that ball for many a good gain against the Alabama line, and Bob Parham's toe sent the pigskin sailing long and high to put the Crimson back in their own territory, and the way Johnny Marshall tore through the line to get to the Alabama backs was a caution.

The fight reached its highest peak in the third quarter and this time the Crimson tide did not cross the goal.

In the final quarter Alabama intercepted a Tech pass and held possession of the ball on Tech's forty eight yard line. Wimslett, flashy Alabama end, tossed the ball forty four yards for the longest pass of the game. Barnes bucked the ball over the line for another touchdown. Tech now trailed the Tide 21 points.

The losing Jackets came back for good gains in the last few minutes, one pass to Tiny Hearn netting a long advance.

In Tech's line Mack Tharpe at tackle deserves much credit for not allowing the Crimson drive to go through him at any time.

**TECH WINS 7 GAMES, ALABAMA 5**

In conjunction with the foregoing article, it is interesting to note the tabulated scores of the Tech-Alabama games, as follows:

1902—Alabama, 26; Tech, 0.
1905—Alabama, 5; Tech, 12.
1908—Alabama, 6; Tech, 11.
1910—Alabama, 0; Tech, 36.
1911—Alabama, 0; Tech, 0.
1912—Alabama, 3; Tech, 21.
1914—Alabama, 13; Tech, 0.
1915—Alabama, 7; Tech, 21.
1916—Alabama, 0; Tech, 13.
1922—Alabama, 7; Tech, 33.
1923—Alabama, 0; Tech, 0.
1924—Alabama, 14; Tech, 0.
1925—Alabama, 7; Tech, 0.
1926—Alabama, 21; Tech, 0.

Out of the fourteen games two have resulted in 0-0 ties. In 1902, the Crimson Tide won its biggest victory by the score of 26-0, while the Jackets ran up a 36 to 0 win in 1910 with other big victories of 21 to 7 in 1915 and 33 to 7 in 1922.

For a period of five years, 1905 through 1912, the Crimson failed to register a win; then followed a victory in 1914, and four more games without a win, one of which was a tie.

No games were scheduled from 1917 through 1921, five years and it must be admitted that Tech had quite a team during that time. In this space the war took Tech's coaches and players of enlisting age and, of course, the same conditions disorganized the other teams throughout the country. From the foregoing facts, it is quite obvious that Tech has no apologies to offer for its Alabama football record, or any other.
JACKET BAND ONE OF NATION'S BEST

Georgia Tech's band is one of the finest in the south in the judgment of those who have heard and seen it in action on Grant Field between the halves of the football games. The Alabama game was the first at which the band appeared in their famous "T" formation.

There are over a hundred members of the band, according to Frank Roman, director. The instruments are well balanced this year, the preponderance of saxophones which the usual college band displays having been avoided. J. S. McCrocklin, a sophomore, is the student drum major.

Director Roman announces that any Tech alumnus who is connected with a musical organization and who wishes to obtain the band score of the well known Ramblin' Wreck may do so by communicating with him.

WASHINGTON AND LEE 7—TECH 19

Tech kicked off to Washington and Lee and Washington and Lee went down the field for a touchdown. Washington and Lee kicked off to Tech and Tech went down the field for a touchdown. Such, in brief, is the history of the first quarter of the Jacket-Generals contest on Grant Field on October 23. It seemed that neither team had any defensive, though there seemed to be plenty of drive in both teams.

In the second quarter Washington and Lee continued with the aerial attack that had netted them the first counter. Down about Tech's fifteen yard line the ball slipped out of somebody's hands and Red Barron picked it up. Then happened one of those things we hear about but seldom see. Tech's red-headed back started down the field. Tech linemen pushed the Washington and Lee warriors to one side and Barron dropped behind the goal line with the ball. Barron's run, more than eighty yards long, gave Tech a margin of safety over the generals which they kept on...
the increase throughout the game. Tech 13, W. & L. 6. Captain Rauber of the visitors was most of the team. He was everywhere on the defensive, and made more gains than any other man on the offensive. Tech's line hit him hard and laid him low, but each time he came back for more, always a dangerous threat to the jackets.

Parham displayed his increasing ability to punt the ball farther than the next one, and also showed that he is no mean ground gainer. Red Barron, too, played football that will make him long remembered on the flats.

Pund at center, Mack Thorpe at tackle and the pony backfield men, when they got in; in fact, the whole team played an excellent brand of football throughout the contest.

In the third quarter neither side was able to score and in the fourth Tech drove down the field by brute strength and passes close to the goal line. Then with a pass over the goal line that went complete Tech made the final touchdown of the game. Washington and Lee went home, its claims to conference championship broken by the team which the week before had its hopes crushed.

NOTRE DAME 12—TECH 0

Trailing the Irishmen 12-0 at the end of the half the Golden Tornado came back with a rush and all but humiliated Rockne's proteges in the second period when the two teams met at South Bend on October 30 in Tech's only intersectional game.

A decided advantage was held by the Irish over the Tech men in the first quarter because of the strong wind that was blowing lengthwise of the field toward the goal that the Jackets were defending. Consequently, Bob Parham's educated toe was not able to gain much ground for the Golden Crew. Exchanges of punts put Notre Dame far into Tech territory, and a series of short but consistent gains finally put the ball over for the first touchdown.

The first half was Notre Dame's without a doubt, and Tech's showing during this period was nothing to brag about. However, immediately after the whistle blew for the second half a different Tornado lined up to kick off to the Irish. Notre Dame made a first down, presently, and they never made another until the last thirty seconds of the game.

Rockne had his best men in the line and backfield and Alex had the prime of the Jackets in his machine when the second half ebbed away; and the best of Tech outplayed Notre Dame's best all through the half. They gained more yardage and made more first downs and played a better brand of football. The trouble was that this did not start until the second half when Notre Dame had already run up a safe margin. This shows, however, that there is danger in the Yellow Jackets and those teams which it meets in the remaining weeks will have plenty of opposition.

Of the players themselves Red Barron starred in the backfield, just as he always does, and Owen Poole, playing for the first time since his injury, flung himself into the plays with his old time daring. Both Captain Poole and Mack Thorpe joined in their praise of Raleigh Drennon, sophomore star, who has won his berth at guard. It seemed at times that there was a whole team of Drennons out there tearing holes in the line or blocking the offense.

Parham displayed cool judgement and good sense as safety man. "Papa" Hood was there in the thick of the fight all the time, and Murray, McRae and Barron tackled brilliantly. Of Mack Thorpe coach Alex said, "Tech has never had a more valuable linesman."

---

NEVILLE, ESHELMAN AND CLEARY, INC.
POWER PLANT SPECIALTIES
CANDLER BUILDING
ATLANTA, GEORGIA

---

WEDDING ANNOUNCEMENT

Of wide scope and distinction, the marriage of Miss Mildred Elizabeth Parrott to Mr. Edward Warren Mathews was solemnized on July 5th.

Mr. and Mrs. Harry M. Parrott, of the marriage of their daughter, Miss Mildred Elizabeth Parrott, to Mr. Mark W. Mathews. Mr. and Mrs. Harry M. Parrott, of the marriage of their daughter, Miss Mildred Elizabeth Parrott, to Mr. Mark W. Mathews.

Of outstanding note is the marriage of Miss Mildred Elizabeth Parrott to Mr. Mark W. Mathews. Mr. and Mrs. Harry M. Parrott, of their daughter, Miss Mildred Elizabeth Parrott, to Mr. Mark W. Mathews.

---

THE OLD SOUTH, A TRAVELER IN THE SOUTH

The native is what the asset is.
Complete in operation.
ERAL ARCHITECTS
ARCHITECTS
COASTAL SEAMAN
THE UNITED STATES
**WEDDINGS AND ENGAGEMENTS**

Of wide social prominence is the engagement of Miss Mildred Bozeman Bond, of Nashville, Tenn., to Mr. Edward P. Ansley, Jr., of Atlanta, the marriage to be solemnized in November.

Mr. and Mrs. Samuel Allen Bullock announce the marriage of their daughter, Sarah, to Mr. John Cromwell Parrott on the sixth of October in the First Methodist Church of Eufaula, Ala. Mr. Bullock, father of the bride, graduated from Georgia Tech in 1898.

Mr. and Mrs. J. T. Elder, Sr., announce the marriage of their daughter Mabel Edith to Mr. Bert Miller Filber, which was solemnized on October 16 in Birmingham, Ala.

Of outstanding interest in the entire south is the marriage of Miss Emmie Montgomery, daughter of Mr. and Mrs. George L. Montgomery of Marietta, Ga., to Mr. Mark Wilford Mayes, of Fitzgerald, Ga.

A brilliant marriage of interest throughout the south and east was that of Miss Verona Spencer Layng, of New York, to Mr. John Overton Chiles, of Atlanta, which was solemnized on Thursday, October 7, at St. Mark's Church, Mt. Kisco, N. Y.

---

**Creo-pine**

**Creosoted Southern Pine Products**

Conduit and Cross Arms Sub-Flooring
Poles and Piling Bridge Timbers
Cross Ties Block Floors

**Produced in the heart**

**of the Southern Pine Country**

**Southern Wood Preserving Co.**

ATLANTA, GA.

Treating Plants: EAST POINT, GA., and CHATTANOOGA, TENN.

Sales Offices:

NEW YORK PHILADELPHIA PITTSBURG TOLEDO
CLEVELAND CHARLOTTE, N. C.

---

**Georgia School of Technology**

**"A TECHNICAL SCHOOL WITH A NATIONAL REPUTATION"**

THE GEORGIA SCHOOL OF TECHNOLOGY offers to young men of ability and ambition a training which will fit them for positions of responsibility and power.

The national reputation of this institution is based not on claims, but on results. Its greatest asset is the record being made by its alumni in the productive work of the world.

Complete courses in MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, CIVIL, CHEMICAL, TEXTILE, GENERAL, AND CERAMIC ENGINEERING, AUTOMOBILE ENGINEERING, CHEMISTRY, ARCHITECTURE, COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIAL EDUCATION.


For Further Information, Address

THE REGISTRAR

Georgia School of Technology

ATLANTA, GEORGIA
What is SERENITY Worth?

Buddha, who was born a prince, gave up his name, succession, and his heritage to attain serenity.

But we are no Buddhas: for us the serenity of mind is the happiness of human beings who are secure in the enjoyment of what they possess, whether it is much or little.

We do not have to give up the world; we have only to see a life insurance agent, who can sell us security for the future, the most direct step to serenity of mind.

The next John Hancock agent who calls on you may be able to put you on the road to serenity.

Isn’t it worth while to see him?

John Hancock
A Strong Company, Over Sixty Years in Business. Liberal as to Contingent Safe and Secure in Every Way.

Walter Powell
General Agent
John Hancock Co.
830-31 Healey Bldg.
ATLANTA, GA.

Registrants and Graduates—1894

This is a continuation of the directory begun in the September issue, which will contain the names of all the men who have ever registered at Georgia Tech, as shown by the bulletin issued annually by the college since the founding of the institution.

The names published in this issue are those listed in the spring of 1891 as belonging to the Apprentice Class, which graduated in 1894.

Wherever the present address of a man in this list is known, it is given. An asterisk before a name indicates that no information concerning that man is known beyond that given by the catalogue from which it is taken.

Anyone who is able to give information concerning the starred names is urged to send that information to the office of the National Alumni Association in order that the records may be brought up to date. Corrections on either starred or the unstarred names are requested in case of mistakes.

CLASS OF 1894—APPRENTICE

Bacon, T. J.—Atlanta, Ga.


Couey, J. A.—Atlanta, Ga.


Draper, E. D.—Atlanta, Ga.


Frier, J. M.—Savannah, Ga.


Hall, A. W.—Cedartown, Ga.

Henderson, M.—Ellenton, Ga.


Hunter, W. B., B. S. in M. E.—County Agent, Richmond County, Augusta, Ga.

Jones, F.—Cartersville, Ga.

Kaufman, Fred M.—Sec. & Treas. Empire Printing & Box Co.; V. pres. Service Trust Co., Atlanta, Ga.

Lewan, B. C.—Atlanta, Ga.

Lyon, E.—Atlanta, Ga.

Lumpkin, T.—Trenton, Ga.

McArver, W. Q.—Cocoa, Ga.


McDaniel, H. L.—Atlanta, Ga.


Oglethorpe, B. B. in M. E.—Fruit Grower.

Pelham, J.—Flockwood, Ga.

Rapin, C.—High Point, N. C.

Sheffield, E. D.—American, Ga.

Stewart, R.—West End, Ga.

Van Egge, A. G.—Atlanta, Ga.


Wright, J. A.—Edgewood, Ga.

Alumni, you can now secure the official Ga. Tech standard class ring as adopted by the Student Council and approved by the Faculty and the Alumni Association.

Special arrangements have been made with Herff-Jones Co., Indianapolis, Ind., the designers who will supply rings for any class. It is required that a man must have passed off his Junior work and rate as a Senior before ordering.

Rings can be ordered in Yellow, Green or White Gold and any stone, preferably Garnet, Ruby, Amethyst and Sapphire. The following data must be given in ordering—Gold, stone, size, class and degree.

Price $20.75 except for Sapphire which is $24.25. Orders for white and green gold in 14K cost $2.00 additional. Engraving fraternity letters and crests, extra.

Ga. Tech Alumnus Elected to Legislature

Hon. J. M. Thrash, M. E., 1905, was recently elected to the Georgia Legislature. Mr. Thrash is Principal of the 11th District A. and M. College at Douglass, Georgia, and a loyal alumnus of Georgia Tech.

Mr. Thrash, Georgia Tech, and the State of Georgia are to be congratulated on the outcome of the election.
ALUMNI PROMOTED

Howard Crumley, ‘20, former Student Engineer of the General Electric Company, is now a relay engineer for the Metropolitan Edison Company, Reading, Pennsylvania.

J. W. Carswell, ‘24, formerly with the Retail Credit Company in the Home Office, is now Supervisor of the Central Division with Headquarters in Chicago.

G. H. Keeley, ‘26, and S. W. Boyd, ‘26 who entered the Testing Department of the General Electric Company last summer, are now in the testing section of the Radio Engineering Department.

Addenda To Directory

As corrections and additions are received to our Directory number, we shall publish them as addenda to this issue under this heading.

In order to keep your Directory up to date, we would suggest that you clip out and paste the names shown in these columns under the proper classes and index, in alphabetical arrangement.

1893-Page 3

Norman, H. H.—Last, Wood Shops, Georgia Tech, Atlanta, Ga.

1894—Page 6


1895—Page 8

Williams, J. Howard, B. S. in M. E.—Glendale Lodge, 3rd and Glendale, Detroit, Mich.

1906—Page 14


1912—Page 16

Emerson, W. A., B. S. in M. E., E. E.—Pace and Hays streets, Raleigh, N. C.

1915—Page 18

Porter, C. E., Jr., B. S. in M. E.—P.O. Box 2987, St. Petersburg, Fla.

GEORGIA TECH ALUMNII

1914—Page 19

Mann, J. L. B., B. S. in Arch.—504 North Ave., W., Atlanta, Ga.

1914—Page 22


1917—Page 25

Prescott, Calvin H.—Deceased.

1918—Page 28


1919—Page 29

Scharff, D. L., B. S. in C. E.—301 N. Commerce St., Natchez, Miss.

1922—Page 37

Dunwoody, E. G., Jr., B. S. in Com.—Piedmont Ave., N. E., Atlanta, Ga.

1923—Page 38

McKee, T. H. B., B. S. in M. E.—Box 1076, Atlanta, Ga.

1927—Page 45


1928—Page 46

Laithem, J. O. B., B. S. in M. E.—133 Ashby St., S. W., Atlanta, Ga.

1929—Page 43

Patterson, J. W., B. S. in M. E., E. E.—574 W. Peachtree St., Atlanta, Ga.

1930—Page 43

Eckman, J. P.—Aust, Mgr., Central Franklin Process Co., Charlotte, N. C.

1924—Page 44

Buggs, J. L., Jr.—596 Piedmont Ave., Atlanta, Ga.

1932—Page 46

Campbell, E. N.—Box 7, Sta. A, Chattanooga, Tenn.

1924—Page 46


1926—Page 46

Little, A. J., Jr.—977 S. W., 4th St., Miami, Fla.

1928—Page 46


1928—Page 46

Seyle, Geo., F. B. S. in Eng.—Otis Elevator Co., Miami, Fla.

1929—Page 46


1930—Page 46


1930—Page 46


1932—Page 46

Williams, W. H., B. S. in M. E.—Wayman Williams & Co., 208 Florida Arcada, St. Petersburg, Fla.

1925—Page 49


1926—Page 49

Enloe, Scrump W., Jr., B. S. in T. E.—Lee & Jones Co., 303 S. Franklin St., Chicago, Ill.


Page 43


Page 44

Sweeney, A. Foster, B. S. in Eng.—Morrisville, N. C.

Page 45

Soper, L. B. S. in Arch.—First Baptist Church, Jacksonville, Fla.

Page 46


Page 47

CLASSES OF 1920


Miss Estelle E. Allen, B. C. S.—College Park, Ga.

Anderson, W. E., B. S. in Com.—Cosmes, N. C.


Archeg, G. E., B. S. in E.—1425 Newton St., Key West, Fla.


Ashby, C. A. B., B. S. in E.—1831 Barrs Terrace, Jacksonville, Fla.

Atkinson, O. D., B. S. in E. C.—Past Grad. at McCall Univ., Montreal, Quebec.


Barker, J. W., B. S. in C. E.—High Point, N. C.

Barron, B. S. in Gen. Sci.—Addison, N. Y.

Bates, Dawson B.—Rockeye Cotton Oil Co., Schenectady, N. Y.

Bell, D. T., B. S. in Eng.—Sandusky, Ohio.

Bell, J. T., B. S. in Com.—Griffin, Ga.


Berger, Eddie, B. S. in Com.—81 W. Humphries St., Atlanta, Ga.

Brewer, Joseph, B. S. in Com.—513 Central Ave., Atlanta, Ga.

(Continued on next page)
ENGINEERS!

Do you know that a corrugated culvert in a fill carries only one-third as much load as a culvert of the rigid type?

Write us for free report of American Railway Associations on the Farina Tests.

CORRUGATED CULVERTS

DIXIE CULVERT & METAL CO.

Atlanta

Jacksonville

November, 1926

Bryson, W. H.—9 Perry St., East, Savannah, Ga.
Buttersfield, W. M., B. S. in Com.—110 W. Gadsden St., Pensacola, Fla.
Callaway, F. E.—Cerf. in T. E.—Los Angeles, Calif.
Carlin, J. E., B. S. in Gen. Sci.—560 W. Peachtree St., Atlanta, Ga.
Chester, W. F., Jr., B. S. in C. E.—
Childs, J. F., Cerf. in T. E._
Christopher, J. C., B. S.—Coca Cola Co., Atlanta, Ga.
Cohen, Ike, B. S. in C. E.—637 Crew St., S. W., Atlanta, Ga.
Coke, T. B.—Newnan, Ga.
Oct 20: an, Thomas W., Jr., B. S. in Arch.—
Crenshaw, W. F., B. S. in Com.—114 Boulevard, Atlanta, Ga.
Davis, P. M., B. S. in Arch.—
Davis, A. R., Cerf. in T. E.—906 Queens Ed., Charlotte, N. C.
Davis, J. W., B. S. in Com.—Court House, Atlanta, Ga.
Dennis, C. C. B., B. S.—53 Walton St., Atlanta, Ga.
Diamond, Felix, B. S. in Com.—1118 College St., Chattanooga, Tenn.
Dillard, R. M., B. S. in Com.—73 Highland View, Atlanta, Ga.
Dodd, H. W., B. S. in E. E.—305 W. Peachtree St., Atlanta, Ga.
Donaldson, T. Q., B. S. in Com.—10 E. 13th St., Atlanta, Ga.
Dunn, J. V., B. S. in Com.—Dublin, Ga.
Duren, C. E., B. S. in Eng.—Stillmore, Ga.

(To be continued)
Performance Counts

Two sub-stations of the Georgia Railway and Power Company wired with OKONITE exclusively.

For 45 years the use of Okonite Insulated Wires and Cables has signified a service that is thoroughly dependable.

Send for Okonite Handbook "Insulated Wires and Cables"

The Okonite Company
The Okonite-Callender Cable Company, Inc.

For 45 years the use of Okonite Insulated Wires and Cables has signified a service that is thoroughly dependable. Send for Okonite Handbook "Insulated Wires and Cables"

The Okonite Company
The Okonite-Callender Cable Company, Inc.

Factors: PASSaic, N. J.  PATerson, N. J.
Sales Offices: New York · Chicago · Pittsburgh · St. Louis
Atlanta · Birmingham · San Francisco · Los Angeles · Seattle
F. D. Lawrence Electric Co., Cincinnati, O.
Canadian Representatives: Engineering Materials Limited, Montreal
Thirst is like a fire alarm

Drink Coca-Cola
Delicious and Refreshing

A natural answer

Your whole system is constantly burning up moisture in breathing and in every process of generating and expending energy.

Thirst is one of our strongest impulses. It acts like a fire alarm. But nature warns us not to wait for a big three-alarm fire. She sounds little alarms—the taste-thirst—the palate-thirst—little thirsts that make you think how good a cold glass of the drink you like best would taste.

You might say thirst is nature's fire alarm.

We know that Coca-Cola is a natural answer.

The Coca-Cola Company, Atlanta, Ga.

7 million a day

IT HAD TO BE GOOD TO GET WHERE IT IS