Graab wins presidency, Byrne nabs VP
SGA announces election results for officers, representatives

By James Stephenson
Staff Writer

Student Government Association (SGA) elections closed Wednesday, and the foundation has been laid for next year’s student government. Alison Graab won the presidency, while Paul Byrne was elected as executive vice president.

“I just very excited,” Graab said. “I’m extremely loud and I’d like to thank everyone for voting.”

Graab won the presidency by a narrow margin with 50.8 percent of the vote, or 1,727 votes, in her favor. Only 28 votes kept her out of a run-off with Patrick Cook, who received 1,231 of the votes. Richard Wright and Will Ferguson received 243 and 224 votes, respectively.

Byrne won the vice-presidential race by a wider margin of 63 votes with 56.5 percent of the vote. Byrne defeated Andrew Berrellez, who received 227 of the votes. Richard Wright and Will Ferguson were far behind with 148 and 147 votes, respectively.

See Election, page 4

Swant assumes new duties, title
Assoc. Vice President for Budget and Planning Swan Swant will assume duties as Senior Vice President for Administration and Finance and Robert Thompson’s deputy. To reflect these additional responsibilities, Swant’s title will be changed to Vice President for Administration and Finance, while continuing to lead and direct the Office of Budget and Planning.

Assembly funds building projects
The 2006 session of the Georgia General Assembly allocated funds for two major building projects on campus. Tech received $58 million in bonds to construct the Nano Technology Research Center Building and $4.7 million in bonds to renovate the Old Civil Engineering Building. Both projects were a top priority in the Assembly’s budget.

Additionally, the Assembly was able to create and fund new programs that will be beneficial to the University System of Ga. as a whole. The budget includes an average four percent salary increase for University System faculty and staff. The increase will take effect January 1, 2007.

When whistle blows ceremony April 21
Attended the annual “When the Whistle Blows” ceremony at 6 p.m. April 21 and honor the memory of those who have passed away over the past year at the annual ceremony. The event takes place on the Tech Tower lawn.

Klaus construction continues through fall

By James Stephenson
Staff Writer

Due to a four month extension of the construction deadline, the Klaus Computing Building will not be open for classes fall semester.

“The extended date was mutually agreed upon by all parties,” said Ron Leroy, Facilities project manager. “[Tech] reluctantly agreed to the extension.”

The original completion date for the Klaus Computing Building was April 17, but was extended to August 21 after delays slowed the project’s progress.

A lot of different things [caused the extension],” Leroy said. “The issue is very serious around the site and around campus because many people in the Tech community want to use that building for the fall semester.”

Even though the building construction will be completed in August, the building will not be open for use until October.

“On Aug. 25, the original extended deadline, the building will begin being loaded with furniture and that will continue until Sept. 29,” Leroy said. “During this time, the Audio/Visual and Distance-Learning people will be installing equipment in the classrooms. According to Leroy, users can begin to occupy the building Oct. 2.

“I initially, it will just be the administration offices that will be used because the classrooms will not have any classes scheduled in them,” Leroy said. “Fall classes will not be scheduled for the building.”

“Activities may be scheduled for the spaces during the fall, but the logistics of moving a class in the middle of the semester into the building are too complicated to make it plausible,” Leroy said.

According to Leroy, the building will have a lot of vacant space even after the initial users occupy the building. Leroy anticipates the space being used in some form or fashion. “When you have that amount of space, someone will use it, but it won’t be officially scheduled,” Leroy said.

The building will be primarily used by the College of Computing; the College of Electrical and Computer Engineering and Auxiliary Services, which includes Parking and Transportation.

There will also be a component of the building that will be for campus use,” Leroy said. “There will be a 200 seat auditorium and other classroom spaces.”

The set deadline is official. The building construction must be completed at that time, and no further delays are foreseen.

“We are meeting on a bi-monthly basis to discuss scheduling and to make sure everything is on track,” According to Leroy.

See Klaus, page 4

ADF files free speech lawsuit against Tech

By Amanda Dugan
News Editor

The Alliance Defense Fund (ADF), a non-profit organization that is not a Christian legal defense fund, filed a lawsuit March 16 on behalf of two Tech students against the Institute for Constitutional Rights and first amendment rights have been violated. ADF, a national Christian legal defense fund, filed the suit on behalf of Rath Milhota, a fourth-year Public Policy major, and Ori Sklar, a third-year Civil Engineering major.

The following administrators were named as defendants in the suit: Institute President Wayne Clough, Gail DiSabatino, former Dean of Students; Danielle McDonald, assistant dean of Student Involvement; Stephanie Ray, associate dean and director of Diversity Programs and Michael Black, director of Housing.

The lawsuit claims that the Institute actively censorship disfavored expression on campus and that the Tech environment “squashes” First Amendment freedoms through policies outlined in the Student Code of Conduct and the Housing Community Guide.

The lawsuit also challenges the fact that mandatory Student Activity

See Lawsuit, page 7

Online Parking Registration
2006-2007
www.applyparking.gatech.edu

“IT’S FRIENDLIER”

Quick and Friendly! With the click of a few buttons, new customers may apply for a parking permit and returning customers may choose to renew their current lot or change lots. Online registration is available 24 hours each day. All current wait lists will expire on April 15, 2006.

Employees! The preferred payment option for eligible employees is Pre-Tax Payroll Reduction. On average, this can result in a 30% savings for most. Those choosing to pay via check or credit card will receive an e-mail invoice with a payment due date of July 14th. Permits not paid for by July 21st will be returned to the pool and sold to the next person on the wait list.

Students! All student permit charges will be transferred to the student’s Bursar Account. Payments for permit charges are subject to the same policy and schedule that apply to other tuition and fees.

Automated Notification! You will receive a confirmation email after successfully completing the Online Registration process. Please save this information. All unsuccessful attempts will receive an email notification with instructions for completing the process.

Parking Lot Assignments! You will be able to view your assignment on the web July 14th. Assignments are made according to the Institute’s priority policy. Your first choice will be accommodated whenever possible.

Permit Distribution! Permits will be mailed by July 24th to the address provided during registration. Student permits may be picked up at the Parking Office from August 1st through September 1st. Permits not picked up by September 5th will be returned to inventory and sold to the next person on the wait list. Parking is not responsible for lost permits—replacements are $10. Permits will not be distributed to any customer with outstanding parking citations on their account.

Questions! Go to our website www.parking.gatech.edu for answers to frequently asked questions and policies. You can also send an email to register@parking.gatech.edu

“Friendlier Is Better . . .”
Undergraduate House of Representatives

The Undergraduate House of Representatives met on Tuesday. Eight bills were passed and two were failed by the House.

The first bill brought before the House was the joint allocation to the Taiwanese American Student Association. The bill was seeking funding for their night market event, which is a big part of Taiwanese culture. It will be a festival type event with carnival activities and performances. The bill passed.

The second bill brought before the Senate was the joint allocation to the Sport Parachute Club. The organization was seeking funding to pay for their parachute repacking, which must be done by an FAA certified advisor. The bill passed.

The third bill brought before the Senate was the joint allocation to the Campus Leadership Reception. The event will be put on to bring leadership of various organizations to get together and plan events together. The bill passed.

The fourth bill brought before the Senate was the joint allocation to the African Students Association. The organization was seeking funding for their spring concert. The event will bring in African musicians and will have skins, as well as performances. The bill was brought up for a vote and passed.

The joint allocation to the Ferst Center for the Arts was the next bill brought before the House. The Center was looking for a subsidy for allowing Student Organizations to use the space free of charge. The bill passed.

The next bill discussed was a joint allocation to the Chinese Student Association. The bill was moved from new business to old business. JFC recommended not funding the event since SGA has already funded a major event for this organization this term. The bill failed.

The joint allocation to the Tech Golf Club was the next bill brought before the House. The club was looking to help pay registration fees for a tournament at Emory University. The bill passed without discussion.

The next bill brought before the House was the joint allocation to the Student Center Programs Council. The organization was looking for funding for their “Flicks on 5th” program. The bill was brought up for a vote and passed.

The joint allocation to the Taiwanese American Student Organization was brought to reconsider the bill. The rules were suspended, the bylaws were suspended and a motion was brought to reconsider the bill. The motion passed, but the bill failed for a second time.

The second bill brought before the House was the joint allocation to the African Students Association. The bill was seeking funding for their African concert at the Ferst Center and passed.

The next bill brought before the House was the joint allocation to the African Students Association. The bill was seeking funding for their African concert at the Ferst Center and passed.

A representative passes around a bucket at Tuesday’s UHR meeting. UHR passed an amended FY 2007 Student Activity Fee budget.

The joint allocation to the Taiwanese American Student Organization was brought to reconsider the bill. The rules were suspended, the bylaws were suspended and a motion was brought to reconsider the bill. The motion passed, but the bill failed for a second time.

The second bill brought before the House was the joint allocation to the African Students Association. The bill was seeking funding for their African concert at the Ferst Center and passed.

The next bill brought before the House was the joint allocation to the African Students Association. The bill was seeking funding for their African concert at the Ferst Center and passed.

The joint allocation to the Taiwanese American Student Organization was brought to reconsider the bill. The rules were suspended, the bylaws were suspended and a motion was brought to reconsider the bill. The motion passed, but the bill failed for a second time.
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phones that are there will remain there. Everything we do is behind the wall," Mullin said.

Faculty and staff will be quicker to notice the changes because of the convenience that they will experience when they are relocating. The new system involves a technology known as Sentrinx; in this system, every telephone's wire leads to a closet in the basement, which then connects to a large BellSouth cable. This then feeds into the locals which serve Georgia Tech.

"Currently we get our service from the Georgia Tech Knowledge Authority and they sub-contract that out to BellSouth," Mullin said.

The new system, through a contract directly with BellSouth, has two switches located on campus; every building in the campus will be connected to those switches via fiber electronics.

The switches will connect the campus to the public telephone network. One switch serves as a backup.

"We're going from a central office-based system to a premises-based telephone switch," Mullin said.

This change, according to Mullin will provide many benefits for the Institute.

"The reason for doing this had to do with improved services," Mullin said. "We will also save the Institute a substantial sum of money by running our own service. That money can be reinvested in the Institute. The third element is to prepare us for using advanced technologies such as integration with wireless networks.

"Everything we want to do competes for the same pool of funds. When we can be more cost-effective, everybody wins. The other short term benefit is the service," Mullin said.

Mullin said that a desire for improvement as well as a history of problems with the current service was the impetus for the change.

"Students will probably see the most benefit in the years to come," Mullin said.

"We will work with the providers to integrate wireless data and wireless voice in a telephone environment."

"This new system offers an enhanced voicemail service that we don't have right now," Rundles said.

Improvements to the voicemail service, according to Rundles, were something that would not impact students soon. Students are not expected to see much difference in their dorm room phones.

"Starting in the summer we will be discussing with individual building occupants as to what activities they have going on in their buildings...designate the most appropriate time [for installation]," Rundles said. "It will be a cooperative effort."

Rundles also said that while the project would be completed as quickly as possible with the least disruption, noise would be a part of the process.
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Leroy said. "Small things may slow down progress slightly, such as the fire marshal having a particular issue, but I don't anticipate any further delays."

"The main element still under construction is the landscaping of the site. The building sits on a four acre lot and the entire site not in the footprint of the building will be landscaped.

Currently, the area between the road and the building is being landscaped.

"There is still a lot of work to do, but they have made major strides," Leroy said. "The plans to make sure there are no back doors."

As an example, Leroy cited the path leading from First Drive to the Architecture Building. "If it feels as if you are approaching the Architecture Building from the back side," Leroy said.

"We want to eliminate the feeling and once the project is completed none of the pathways will have that feeling."

The building, once finished, will stand out on campus not only as the newest addition but as a glimpse at the direction the Tech campus will be headed.

"The building is LEED certified and as a whole the building will serve as a beautiful addition to campus as well as a portal to West Campus," Leroy said.

The building sits on a major pedestrian cross axis and will allow for pedestrian traffic to continue to move through the site as it did prior to the building's construction.  

Associate Vice Provost of Information Technology

"We will also save the Institute a substantial sum (of money) by running our own service."

John Mullin

Executive Vice President - Elect

Paul Byrne

I wore my lucky boxers today.

Paul Byrne

"Everything we want to do competitive for Mechanical Engineering is Chris Zhang."
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The Tech IEEE Robotics team won second place for the first time in eight years at the 2006 Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Southeast Conference Hardware Competition on April 1. The event was sponsored by FedEx, Motorola, and Addison-Wesley and was held in Memphis, Tenn. A total of 55 schools registered for the competition and only 25 schools qualified for the final competition.

The final contestants were faced with the challenge of fulfilling the main objective, in which competing robots were required to correctly load 12 FedEx packages on to three planes prior to the departure of FedEx flights. The autonomous robot extracts the packages one at a time from a stack inside the chute. The test track consisted of sheet of plywood that simulated the airport ramp area. Each of the contestants were judged on the robot’s ability to get the packages onto the planes within the given time limit.

The GT Robotics Team, consisting of 10 members with multifarious backgrounds in Electrical Engineering, Computer Engineering and Mechanical Engineering, designed a robot with a focus on controlling factors. “At the competition, we noticed two main distinct approaches to the design,” said Simon Chen, the IEEE Robotics Team captain. “One is to build a lightweight robot that delivers a single package at a time but does so as fast as possible in 12 trips. The other approach is to carry as many blocks as possible in one load and reduce the number of trips.” The Tech robot used the second approach and carried five blocks in one trip at a medium speed using a rotating carousel.

According to Chen, the team encountered a lot of problems with making the motor control system reliable. “Instead of purchasing a commercial motor controller, we designed and built our own,” Chen said. “It was a great learning experience, but it took a lot of time. As a result, we ran out of time deploying an autonomous control algorithm that could have won us the competition.” Despite losing the first place, the team maintains its positive spirit and is looking for ways to improve. “We are very pleased with the outcome because this is the first time our school placed in the top three,” Chen said. “Based on this experience, I believe... you must have a motivated team with an organized schedule and plan. This experience was a great example where team work exceeded what any individual could have accomplished. We had a team with multiple disciplinary backgrounds and that was the secret ingredient to our success.”

The team is already shifting gears toward the next competition which will take place in the spring of 2007. “We plan to continue our success by preparing for the next competition earlier,” Chen said. “Most schools had two semesters to work on the robot, and we designed and built ours this year in three and a half months. We also plan to have an organized transition process where the graduating seniors on the team mentor the underclassmen to ensure that the knowledge was passed on.”
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formances by various groups in the area. The bill passed by unanimous acclamation.

The next bill brought before the Senate was the joint allocation to the Gymnastics Team. The team qualiﬁed for the national competition in Calif. and were asking for entry fees and travel for the competition. The bill passed.

The next bill brought before the Senate was the joint allocation to the Student Center Programs Council for its summer movie program “Flicks on 5th.” The bill passed.

The next bill brought before the Senate was the joint allocation to the Tier III to a Tier II organization. The motion failed.

The next bill brought before the Senate was the joint allocation to the Clark Center for the Arts to subsidize the arts centers for student organizations for free. The bill passed.

Two resolutions were brought before the Senate. The first resolution was the Graduate Student Housing Payment Plans, and the second was the Tiered and Home Single Student Housing Costs. The GSS requests that graduate students be allowed to pay rent on a monthly basis as families currently are able to do. There was a move to forward motions to Burney’s Ofﬁce, and the resolutions passed.

The last bill to be brought before the Senate was a joint resolution for waiting lists at events free for students. The resolution would allow students on the waiting list to enter and fill open seating. The bill failed.

A joint resolution on the availability of Sting and Trolley departure times was not voted on because the Senate lost quorum.

The next bill brought before the Senate was an amendment to an existing law that would allow the State to purchase property in a given area. The bill passed by unanimous acclamation.

The next bill brought before the Senate was the joint allocation to the Allen Center for the Arts to subsidize the Center renting the spaces out in the fall. The bill passed.

A motion to increase the budget to $1,500 was brought before the Senate, and the motion was passed. A motion to decrease the budget to $1,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $1,250 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $1,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $2,000 was made and passed.

A motion to strike lines to pay the undergraduate treasurer and graduate secretary passed. A motion was brought to change the Student Center from a Tier III to a Tier II organization. The motion failed.

A motion was made to strike the Pride Alliance from the budget due to the belief that the Pride Alliance was a partisan organization. The motion passed.

A motion to increase the budget to $1,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $2,000 was made and passed.

A motion was made to increase the budget to $2,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $3,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $3,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $4,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $4,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $5,000 was made and passed.

A motion to increase the budget to $5,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $6,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $6,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $7,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $7,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $8,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $8,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $9,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $9,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $10,000 was made and passed.

A motion to increase the budget to $10,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $11,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $11,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $12,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $12,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $13,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $13,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $14,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $14,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $15,000 was made and passed.

A motion to increase the budget to $15,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $16,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $16,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $17,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $17,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $18,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $18,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $19,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $19,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $20,000 was made and passed.

A motion to increase the budget to $20,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $21,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $21,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $22,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $22,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $23,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $23,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $24,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $24,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $25,000 was made and passed.

A motion to increase the budget to $25,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $26,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $26,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $27,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $27,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $28,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $28,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $29,000 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $29,500 was made and passed. A motion to increase the budget to $30,000 was made and passed.
Greeks community joins campus recycling effort

By Jenny Zhang
Copy Editor

The Greek community is starting to take an active role in campus recycling efforts, beginning with its sponsorship of a major event at this year’s Earth Day April 21.

The Inter-Fraternity (IFC) and Pan-Hellenic Councils have collaborated with the Facilities department and Atlanta Recycling Solutions to implement Electronics Waste (E-Waste) Recycling as part of the day’s service.

“There was a big push to make Earth Day bigger and better this year,” said Annie Suttrunk, Pan-Hellenic President. “A lot of Greeks are already involved with Earth Day, and we wanted to put our resources into supporting our members.”

“With this program, we hope to educate our members about environmental issues and start to mobilize them for similar projects,” said Michael Morland, IFC President. “We’re providing funding and incentives for Greeks to volunteer their time during the day, with free lunches and t-shirts,” said Jonathan Sangster, IFC Secretary.

“This is the first year that Facilities has worked on an environmental project of such a large scale with the Greek system,” said Cindy Jackson, Tech’s Recycling Program Director.

“This shows that Greek students are ready to go to the next level with the recycling program.”

The e-waste program will collect old appliances and electronics for proper, environmentally-friendly disposal. Atlanta Recycling Solutions will haul away the waste, which can include everything from computers to fax machines to cameras, and either break it down or refurbish it.

Over 120 Greek students will volunteer at the recycling site located in the parking lot between Skiles Walkway and the Student Center Commons.

According to Jackson, e-waste is the fastest growing recycling problem in Atlanta.

“We’re making recycling a top priority this year.”

Michael Morland
IFC President
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